arXiv:1305.0622v3 [math.AP] 4 Jun 2013

GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTION FOR THE 2-D ERICKSEN-LESLIE SYSTEM

MENG WANG AND WENDONG WANG

Abstract. We prove the global existence of weak solution for two dimensional Ericksen-Leslie system with the Leslie stress and general Ericksen stress under the physical constrains on the Leslie coefficients. We also prove the local well-posedness of the Ericksen-Leslie system in two and three spatial dimensions.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Ericksen-Leslie system.** The hydrodynamic theory of liquid crystals was established by Ericksen [4, 5] and Leslie [12] in the 1960's. In this theory, the configuration of the liquid crystals is described by a director field $\mathbf{n} = (n^1, n^2, n^3) \in \mathbb{S}^2$. The general Ericksen-Leslie system in $\mathbb{R}^3$ takes the form

$$(EL)\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_t + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \frac{\gamma}{Re}\Delta\mathbf{v} + \frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\nabla\cdot\sigma, \\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0, \\ \mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h} - \gamma_1\mathbf{N} - \gamma_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v^1, v^2, v^3)$ is the velocity of the fluid, p is the pressure, Re is the Reynolds number and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. We denote

$$\kappa = (\nabla\mathbf{v})^T, \quad \mathbf{D} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\kappa^T + \kappa), \quad \Omega = \tfrac{1}{2}(\kappa^T - \kappa),$$
$$\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{n}_t + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n} + \Omega\cdot\mathbf{n},$$

and obviously $\mathbf{N}$ is vertical with the director field $\mathbf{n}$. The stress σ is modeled by the phenomenological constitutive relation

$$\sigma = \sigma^L + \sigma^E,$$

where σ^L is the viscous (Leslie) stress defined by

$$\sigma^L = \alpha_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn} + \alpha_2\mathbf{nN} + \alpha_3\mathbf{Nn} + \alpha_4\mathbf{D} + \alpha_5\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D} + \alpha_6\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{nn}, \tag{1.2}$$

where the six constants $\alpha_1, ...\alpha_6$ are called the Leslie coefficients, $\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D} = \sum_{i,j} n^i\mathbf{D}_{ij}n^j$ and $\mathbf{nN} = (n^i\mathbf{N}^j)_{3\times3}$; σ^E is the elastic (Ericksen) stress defined by

$$\sigma^E = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial(\nabla\mathbf{n})}\cdot(\nabla\mathbf{n})^T, \tag{1.3}$$

where $W = W(\mathbf{n}, \nabla\mathbf{n})$ is the Oseen-Frank density depending on the elastic constants k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 with the form

$$W = k_1(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n})^2 + k_2|\mathbf{n}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{n})|^2 + k_3|\mathbf{n}\cdot(\nabla\times\mathbf{n})|^2 + k_4(\mathrm{tr}(\nabla\mathbf{n})^2 - (\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n})^2).$$

As in [6, 9], we rewrite W as

$$W(\mathbf{n}, \nabla\mathbf{n}) = a|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2 + V(\mathbf{n}, \nabla\mathbf{n}), \tag{1.4}$$

Date: June 5, 2013.

where $a=\min\{k_1,k_2,k_3\}$ and

$$V(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})=(k_1-a)(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n})^2+(k_2-a)|\mathbf{n}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{n})|^2+(k_3-a)|\mathbf{n}\cdot(\nabla\times\mathbf{n})|^{\mathbf{2}}.$$

The molecular field $\mathbf{h}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{h}=-\frac{\delta W}{\delta\mathbf{n}}=(\nabla_i W_{p_i^l}-W_{n^l}),\tag{1.5}$$

where $p_i^l=\nabla_i n^l$ and we adopt the standard summation convention. Throughout this paper, we use the notations:

$$W_{n^i}=\frac{\partial W(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{P})}{\partial n^i},\quad W_{p_i^j}=\frac{\partial W(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{P})}{\partial p_i^j}.$$

In order to ensure that the system (EL) has a basic energy law, the Leslie coefficients and the two constants γ_1, γ_2 should satisfy

$$\alpha_2+\alpha_3=\alpha_6-\alpha_5,\tag{1.6}$$

$$\gamma_1=\alpha_3-\alpha_2,\gamma_2=\alpha_6-\alpha_5,\tag{1.7}$$

where (1.6) is called Parodi's relation. We denote

$$\beta_1=\alpha_1+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1},\quad \beta_2=\alpha_4,\quad \beta_3=\alpha_5+\alpha_6-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition which ensures that the energy of (EL) in $\mathbb{R}^2$ or $\mathbb{R}^3$ is dissipated is

$$\begin{cases}\beta_2\ge 0,\quad 2\beta_2+\beta_3\ge 0,\quad \frac{3}{2}\beta_2+\beta_3+\beta_1\ge 0,\quad \text{for } \mathbb{R}^3\\ \beta_2\ge 0,\beta_1+2\beta_2+\beta_3\ge 0,\beta_1<0,\quad \text{or}\quad \beta_2\ge 0,2\beta_2+\beta_3\ge 0,\beta_1\ge 0,\quad \text{for } \mathbb{R}^2\end{cases}\tag{1.8}$$

which was introduced by Wang-Zhang-Zhang [22] for $\mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover, for (EL) in $\mathbb{R}^2$, the the condition is weaker and proved in Section 2 (see Remark 2.2 for details).

Let $\mu_1=\frac{1}{\gamma_1}$ and $\mu_2=-\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$. The third equation of (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{n}_t+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{n}\times((\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}-\mu_1\mathbf{h}-\mu_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})=0.\tag{1.9}$$

1.2. **Main results.** Most of earlier works treated the approximated or simplified system of (1.1), since the general Ericksen-Leslie system is very complicated. Lin and Liu [15] consider the Ginzburg-Landau type approximation of (1.1):

$$\begin{cases}\mathbf{v}_t+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}=-\nabla p+\frac{\gamma}{Re}\Delta\mathbf{v}+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\nabla\cdot\sigma,\\ \mathbf{n}_t+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}+\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}-\mu_1\Delta\mathbf{n}-\mu_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(|\mathbf{n}|^2-1)\mathbf{n}=0,\end{cases}\tag{1.10}$$

which is obtained by adding the penality term $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(|\mathbf{n}|^2-1)\mathbf{n}$ in W. The global existence of weak solution and the local existence and uniqueness of strong solution of the system (1.10) were proved in [15] under certain strong constrains on the Leslie coefficients. However, whether the solution of (1.10) converges to that of (1.1) as ε tends to zero remains open.

A simplest system preserving the basic energy law is

$$\begin{cases}\mathbf{v}_t+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}-\Delta\mathbf{v}+\nabla p=-\nabla\cdot(\nabla\mathbf{n}\odot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\\ \mathbf{n}_t+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}-\Delta\mathbf{n}=|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2\mathbf{n},\end{cases}\tag{1.11}$$

which is obtained by neglecting the Leslie stress and taking the elastic constants in W as $k_1=k_2=k_3=1$. In two dimensional case, the global existence of weak solution has been independently proved by Lin, Lin and Wang [16] and Hong [8], where they construct a class of weak solution with at most a finite number of singular times. The uniqueness of weak solution is proved by Lin-Wang [17] and Xu-Zhang [23]. Recently, Hong and Xin [9] extended the result of [16, 8] to the Oseen-Frank model with general Ericksen stress. In three dimensional case, the global existence of weak solution of (1.11) is a challenging open problem. In the case when $|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2\mathbf{n}$ in (1.11) is replaced by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(|\mathbf{n}|^2-1)\mathbf{n}$, the global existence and partial regularity of weak solution were studied in [13, 14]. We refer to [20, 21, 11] and references therein for more relevant results.

In a recent work [22], Wang-Zhang-Zhang proved the local well-posedness of the Ericksen-Leslie system, and the global well-posednss for small initial data under the physical constrain conditions (1.6)-(1.8) on the Leslie coefficients. In [22], they considered the Ericksen stress with $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1$. In this paper, we first extend their result to the case with general Ericksen stress, which will be used in the proof of global existence of weak solution. It's worth mentioning that recently Hong-Li-Xin [10] obtained the local well-posed results of the liquid crystal flow for the Oseen-Frank model without Leslie sress in $\mathbb{R}^3$ by Ginzburg-Landau approximation approach.

The first result of the paper is the local existence, uniqueness and blow-up criterion for strong solutions of the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1) with the Leslie stress and general Ericksen stress, which generalized the results in [22] and [10].

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that the Leslie coefficients satisfy (1.6)-(1.8). Let $s \geq 2$ be an integer, the initial data $\nabla\mathbf{n}_0 \in H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbf{v}_0 \in H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $d = 2$ (or $d = 3$), and* $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$. *There exist $T > 0$ and a unique solution $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ of the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1) such that*

$$\mathbf{v} \in C\big([0,T]; H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)\big) \cap L^2(0,T; H^{2s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad \nabla\mathbf{n} \in C\big([0,T]; H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)\big).$$

Let T^* be the maximal existence time of the solution. If $T^* < +\infty$, then it is necessary to hold that

$$\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla\times\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}(t)\|^2_{L^\infty}dt = +\infty.$$

Our second main goal is to extend Hong-Xin's global existence result of weak solution in 2-D in [9] to the case with the Leslie stress. In the space $\mathbb{R}^2$, $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ in (1.1) satisfies $v^3 = 0$, $\partial_{x_3}\mathbf{v} = 0$ and $\partial_{x_3}\mathbf{n} = 0$. Moreover, we assume that $\nabla\cdot\sigma^L$ means $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)$.

Let $b \in \mathbb{S}^2$ be a constant vector and we define

$$H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{S}^2) = \{u : u - b \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^3), |u| = 1 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2\}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that the Leslie coefficients satisfy (1.6)-(1.8), and the initial data $(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{S}^2)$ with* $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$. *Then there exists a global weak solution $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ of the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1), which is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times ((0,+\infty)\setminus\{T_l\}_{l=1}^L)$ for a finite number of times $\{T_l\}_{l=1}^L$. Moreover, there are two constants $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ such that each singular point (x^l_i, T_l) is characterized by the condition*

$$\limsup_{t\uparrow T_l} \int_{B_R(x^l_i)} (|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2)(\cdot,t)dx > \epsilon_0$$

for any $R > 0$ with $R \leq R_0$.

Compared with Hong-Xin's results in [9], we consider Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1) with the Leslie stress, for which we need to balance the interaction between the Leslie stress and the Oseen-Frank density $W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})$ (for example, see Prop 2.1, Theorem 3.2, Lemma 4.2 and

4.4). And we also explore an important decomposition formula for $\mathbf{h}$ with two nonlinear terms. To obtain global existence result, firstly we prove the local existence for strong solutions of Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1) by using Friedrich's approach and uniform energy estimates as in [22], and the difficulty comes from the nonlinear terms of the molecular field $\mathbf{h}$. We overcome it by the estimates for commutator operator and detailed analysis of $\mathbf{h}$. For the simplified case $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$ ($h = 2a\Delta\mathbf{n}$), it's easy to obtain a positive higher order dissipated energy, for example

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{h}dx \geq 4a^2\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}|\Delta\mathbf{n}|^2dx + \text{Lower Order Terms}$$

However, when k_1, k_2, k_3 are different, it's important for us to obtain the above estimates. In fact, for the proof of local existence in Section 3.1, we get a weaker higher order dissipated term $\|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}$, which is enough to control error terms. Moreover, for blow-up criterion of strong solutions in Section 3.3, we find a useful observation:

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}\cdot n^l \qquad (1.12)\\ =\;& -2k_2|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2 - 2(k_3-k_2)(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})^2 - 2(k_1-k_2)(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n})^2 + 2(k_1-k_2)\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}),\end{aligned}$$

which make that the term $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}|\Delta^s(\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}|dx$ can be written as the sum of commutator terms, which can be controlled, seeing (3.44). For the arguments for global existence of weak solutions, we obtain certain local monotonicity inequalities and interior regularity estimates, and we follow the basic spirit of Struwe [18], which is later developed by Hong-Xin in [9].

Remark 1.3. *After we finished this paper, Professor Changyou Wang told us that they also obtained similar results as Theorem 1.2 in a recent joint work with Jinrui Huang and Fanghua Lin. Also we thank Prof. Changyou Wang for giving us some valuable suggestions to improve this paper, especially higher regularity estimates in Section 4, which are different with the arguments in* [9].

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce the basic energy law of the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1) and the decomposition formula for $\mathbf{h}$; In section 3, we prove the local existence, uniqueness and blow-up criterion for strong solutions of the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1) with the Leslie stress and general Ericksen stress by using Friedrich's approach and energy estimates, where the special structure of $\mathbf{h}$ is frequently exploited and used. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of global existence of weak solutions.

2. BASIC ENERGY-DISSIPATION LAW

In this section, we derive the basic energy law of the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1) under the conditions (1.6)-(1.8) on the Leslie coefficients. We consider the solution $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d = 2, 3$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ be a smooth solution of (1.1) with the initial values $(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)$. Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e(\mathbf{v}(\cdot,t),\mathbf{n}(\cdot,t))dx + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\Big(\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma_1}|\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{h}|^2\Big)dxds\\ &\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\Big((\alpha_1+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})|\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}:\mathbf{D}|^2 + (\alpha_5+\alpha_6-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2 + \alpha_4(\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{D})\Big)dxds\\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx, \qquad (2.1)\end{aligned}$$

where $e(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ *is defined by*

$$e(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n}) = W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n}) + \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}|\mathbf{v}|^2.$$

Remark 2.2. *If the Leslie coefficients satisfy the first relation of* (1.8)*, Wang-Zhang-Zhang* [22] *proved that for any symmetric trace free* 3×3 *matrix* $\mathbf{D}$ *and* $\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{S}^2$*,*

$$(\alpha_1+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})|\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D}|^2 + (\alpha_5+\alpha_6-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2 + \alpha_4(\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{D}) \geq 0.$$

Thus, the energy is dissipated in this case. Moreover, for any symmetric trace free 3×3 *matrix* $\mathbf{D}$ *with* $D_{i3}=0$ *for* $i=1,2,3$*, the energy is dissipated if and only if*

$$\beta_2\geq 0, \beta_1+2\beta_2+\beta_3\geq 0, \beta_1<0, \quad \text{or} \quad \beta_2\geq 0, 2\beta_2+\beta_3\geq 0, \beta_1\geq 0,$$

which is weaker than $(1.8)_1$*.*

Proof of Remark 2.2: For any $\mathbf{n}=(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in S^2$ and $\mathbf{D}=\begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0\\ y & -x & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}$,

$$\beta_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})^2 + \beta_2(\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{D}) + \beta_3|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2 \geq 0, \tag{2.2}$$

which is equivalent to that

$$\beta_1\left((n_1^2-n_2^2)x + 2n_1n_2y\right)^2 + \left(2\beta_2+\beta_3(n_1^2+n_2^2)\right)(x^2+y^2)\geq 0.$$

Normalize (x,y) such that $x=\cos\alpha, y=\sin\alpha$. Then

$$\beta_1\left((n_1^2-n_2^2)\cos\alpha + 2n_1n_2\sin\alpha\right)^2 + \left(2\beta_2+\beta_3(n_1^2+n_2^2)\right)\geq 0$$

for all $\alpha\in[0,2\pi]$ and $\mathbf{n}=(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in S^2$.

For $\mathbf{n}\in S^2$, we can set $n_1=t\cos\psi, n_2=t\sin\psi$, where $0\leq t\leq 1$, and $\psi\in[0,2\pi]$. Thus (2.2) holds if and only if that

$$\beta_1\cos^2(2\psi-\alpha) + \beta_3\frac{1}{s} + 2\beta_2\frac{1}{s^2}\geq 0,$$

for all $s\in[0,1]$, and $\psi,\alpha\in[0,2\pi]$. In other words,

$$\beta_2\geq 0, \beta_1+2\beta_2+\beta_3\geq 0, \beta_1<0, \quad \text{or} \quad \beta_2\geq 0, 2\beta_2+\beta_3\geq 0, \beta_1\geq 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Multiplying the first equation of (1.1) by $\mathbf{v}$ and using the fact $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}=0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}|\mathbf{v}|^2dx + \frac{\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2dx\\ &= -\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\sigma^L:\nabla\mathbf{v}dx + \frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}W_{p_j^k}(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})\nabla_i n^k\nabla_j v^i dx,\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and it follows from the definitions of $\sigma^L, \mathbf{D}, \Omega$, and (1.6)-(1.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \sigma^L : \nabla \mathbf{v} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\alpha_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn} + \alpha_2\mathbf{nN} + \alpha_3\mathbf{Nn} + \alpha_4\mathbf{D} + \alpha_5\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D} + \alpha_6\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{nn}) : (\mathbf{D}+\Omega)dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \{\alpha_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})^2 + (\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\mathbf{n}\cdot(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{N}) + (\alpha_5+\alpha_6)|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2 + \alpha_4\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{D} \\
&\quad +(\alpha_2-\alpha_3)\mathbf{n}\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{N}) + (\alpha_6-\alpha_5)(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\}dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \alpha_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})^2 + (\alpha_5+\alpha_6)|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2 + \alpha_4\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{D}dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \gamma_1\mathbf{N}\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}) + \gamma_2(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}) + \gamma_2\mathbf{n}\cdot(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{N})dx.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term of the above equality, recall that the vector triple product formula $a\times(b\times c) = b(a\cdot c) - c(a\cdot b)$. Using the equation (1.9) and the antisymmetry of Ω ($\mathbf{n}\cdot\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n} = 0$), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \gamma_1\mathbf{N}\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}) + \gamma_2(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}) + \gamma_2\mathbf{n}\cdot(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{N})dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\frac{1}{\gamma_1}\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}) - \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot(\gamma_1\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n} + \gamma_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \gamma_2(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \mathbf{h}\cdot\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n} + \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})dx - \frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}(|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2 - |\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \sigma^L : \nabla\mathbf{v}dx &= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\alpha_1 + \frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})^2 + (\alpha_5+\alpha_6-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2 + \alpha_4\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{D}dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \mathbf{h}\cdot\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n} - \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})dx. \qquad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for the functional $W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} W_{n^l}n^l_t + W_{p^l_i}\partial_t\nabla_i n^l dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (W_{n^l} - \nabla_i W_{p^l_i})(n^l_t + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla n^l - \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla n^l)dx. \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (W_{n^l} - \nabla_i W_{p^l_i})v^k\nabla_k n^l dx \\
&= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} W_{n^l}v^k\nabla_k n^l - \int_{\mathbf{R}^D} W_{p^l_i}v^k\nabla^2_{ik}n^l dx - \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} W_{p^l_i}\nabla_i v^k\nabla_k n^l dx \\
&= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} v^k\cdot\nabla_k W - \int_{\mathbf{R}^D} W_{p^l_i}\nabla_i v^k\nabla_k n^l dx \\
&= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} W_{p^l_i}\nabla_i v^k\nabla_k n^l dx, \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

while by scalar triple product formula $a\cdot(b\times c)=b\cdot(c\times a)=c\cdot(a\times b)$, it's easy to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}(W_{n^l}-\nabla_i W_{p_i^l})\cdot(n_t^l+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla n^l)dx\\&=\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}(-\mathbf{h})\cdot(-\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}+\frac{1}{\gamma_1}\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})-\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{n}))dx\\&=\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\mathbf{h}\cdot\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}dx-\frac{1}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}|\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}|^2dx+\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})dx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

Summing up for (2.3)-(2.7), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}|v|^2+W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})dx+\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}|\nabla v|^2dx+\frac{1}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}|\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\&=-\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}(\alpha_1+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})^2+(\alpha_5+\alpha_6-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2+\alpha_4\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{D}dx\end{aligned}$$

Then the Proposition follows by integrating on the time.

Now we will give a decomposition formula for $\mathbf{h}$, which plays an important role in our proof.

Lemma 2.3. *For the terms $\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l}$, W_{n^l} and $\mathbf{h}$, we have the following representation:*

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l})&=2a\Delta\mathbf{n}+2(k_1-a)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}-2(k_2-a)\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{curln}\times\mathbf{n}))\\&\quad-2(k_3-a)\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{nn}),\\&=2a\Delta\mathbf{n}+2(k_1-a)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}-2(k_2-a)\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curln})\\&\quad-2(k_3-k_2)\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{nn})\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

$$(W_{n^l})=2(k_3-k_2)(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{curln}),\tag{2.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{h}&=2a\Delta\mathbf{n}+2(k_1-a)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}-2(k_2-a)\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curln})\\&\quad-2(k_3-k_2)\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{nn})-2(k_3-k_2)(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{curln})\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

Proof: The proof is direct. Note that $(b\times c)\cdot(b\times c)=|b|^2|c|^2-(b\cdot c)^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(W_{p_\alpha^i})_i^\alpha&=2a\begin{pmatrix}\partial_1n^1&\partial_2n^1&\partial_3n^1\\\partial_1n^2&\partial_2n^2&\partial_3n^2\\\partial_1n^3&\partial_2n^3&\partial_3n^3\end{pmatrix}+2(k_1-a)\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}&0&0\\0&\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}&0\\0&0&\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}\end{pmatrix}\\&+2(k_2-a)\begin{pmatrix}0&-(\partial_1n^2-\partial_2n^1)&(\partial_3n^1-\partial_1n^3)\\(\partial_1n^2-\partial_2n^1)&0&-(\partial_2n^3-\partial_3n^2)\\-(\partial_3n^1-\partial_1n^3)&(\partial_2n^3-\partial_3n^2)&0\end{pmatrix}\\&+2(k_3-k_2)\begin{pmatrix}0&-n^3(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{n})&n^2(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{n})\\n^3(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{n})&0&-n^1(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{n})\\-n^2(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{n})&n^1(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{n})&0\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Then it's easy to obtain (2.8) by making ∇^α on both sides of the above equality.

3. LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS, UNIQUENESS AND BLOW-UP CRITERION

Throughout this paper, we denote that C is a constant depending on d, $\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_6$, k_1, k_2, k_3, γ, Re and independent of the solution $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$, and different from line to line. The symbol $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the integral in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d=2,3$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}(\cdot,\cdots,\cdot)$ denotes the polynomial depending on the variable quantities in the bracket whose order, for example, is less than 10.

3.1. **Existence.** Firstly we use the classical Friedrich's method to construct the approximate solutions of (1.1) as in [22]. One of the main difference is that the representation formula of $\mathbf{h}$ owns three different positive coefficients k_1, k_2 and k_3 and $\mathbf{h}$ is nonlinear with respect to $\mathbf{n}$.

We will frequently use the following lemma for the commutator; for example see [2].

Lemma 3.1. *For $\alpha, \beta \in N^3$, it holds that*

$$\|D^\alpha(fg)\|_{L^2} \le C \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|} \left(\|f\|_{L^\infty}\|D^\gamma g\|_{L^2} + \|g\|_{L^\infty}\|D^\gamma f\|_{L^2}\right),$$

$$\|[D^\alpha, f]D^\beta g\|_{L^2} \le C \left(\sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|+|\beta|} \|D^\gamma f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{|\gamma|=|\alpha|+|\beta|-1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty}\|D^\gamma g\|_{L^2} \right).$$

The local existence of (1.1) is split into two steps.

Step 1. Construction of the approximated solutions.

In order to construct an approximated system preserving the energy-dissipation law, Wang-Zhang-Zhang [22] introduced the following equivalent system of (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_t + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \frac{\gamma}{Re}\Delta\mathbf{v} + \frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\nabla\cdot(\tilde{\sigma}^L + \sigma^E), \\ \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v} = 0, \\ \mathbf{n}_t + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n}\times((\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n} - \mu_1\mathbf{h} - \mu_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}^L = \sigma_1(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n}) + \sigma_2(\mathbf{n})$ with

$$\sigma_1(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n}) = \beta_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn} + \beta_2|\mathbf{n}|^4\mathbf{D} + \frac{\beta_3}{2}|\mathbf{n}|^2(\mathbf{nD}\cdot\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{nn}),$$

$$\sigma_2(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{1}{2}(-1-\mu_2)\mathbf{n}\left(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})\right) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\mu_2)\left(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})\right)\mathbf{n}.$$

It's easy to check that the above system is just (1.1) when $|\mathbf{n}| = 1$.

Let

$$\mathcal{J}_\epsilon f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi(\frac{\xi}{\epsilon})\mathcal{F}f),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is usual Fourier transform and $\phi(\xi)$ is a smooth cut-off function with $\phi = 1$ in B_1 and $\phi = 0$ outside of B_2. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be an operator which projects a vector field to its solenoidal part. We construct the approximate system of (3.1):

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}{\partial t} + \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon) \\ \qquad = \frac{\gamma}{Re}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Delta\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon + \frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\nabla\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{P}\left(\sigma_1(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon) + \sigma_2(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon) + \sigma^E(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\right), \\ \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}^\epsilon = 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial\mathbf{n}_\epsilon}{\partial t} + \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\left(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon + \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon - \mu_1\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon - \mu_2\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\right) = 0, \\ (\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)|_{t=0} = (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_0, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_0). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\epsilon h_\epsilon \;=\;& 2a\Delta\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon + 2(k_1-a)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon - 2(k_2-a)\mathbf{curl}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times(\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)) \\ & -2(k_3-a)\mathbf{curl}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon) - 2(k_3-k_2)(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)(\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem, we know that there exist a strictly maximal time T_ϵ and a unique solution $(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \in C([0, T_\epsilon); H^k(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for any $k \geq 0$. It's worth to mention that the choosing of $\mathcal{J}_\epsilon$ is different from that in [22]. Since $\mathbf{h}$ is nonlinear, we need to use the uniform integration of $\mathcal{J}_\epsilon$ to overcome the difficulty from the commutator terms, for example, the Lie bracket $[\mathcal{J}_\epsilon, f]$ in (3.7), which needs much regularity of the cut-off function ϕ.

Step 2. Uniform energy estimates.

We introduce the following energy functional, which is related with the formula of $\mathbf{h}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_s(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \;\; = \;\; & \|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon - \mathbf{n}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}\|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} W(\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)dx \\ & + a\|\Delta^s \nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + (k_1 - a)\|\Delta^s \mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + (k_2 - a)\|\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + (k_3 - a)\|\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}\|\Delta^s \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2.1. Estimates of lower order terms in $E_s(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)$. Similar arguments hold for $\widetilde{\sigma}^L$ as in Proposition 2.1, and other terms are directly estimated. In fact, the approximate system has the following energy estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon|^2 + W(\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)dx + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon|^2 dx \\ & = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \beta_1 |\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\epsilon : \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon|^2 + \beta_2 |\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon|^4 \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\epsilon : \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\epsilon + \beta_3 |\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon|^2 |\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon|^2 dx \\ & \quad + C\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})(\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq C\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})(\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Using (3.2) and the definition of $\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{h}_\epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon - \mathbf{n}_0\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\langle \partial_t \mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon - \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle \\ & \leq C\left(\|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}\right)(1 + \|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})^4 \|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon - \mathbf{n}_0\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C(1 + \|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})^4 E_s(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Step 2.2. We turn to the estimate of higher order derivatives of $\mathbf{n}_\epsilon$ in $E_s(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle \nabla \Delta^s \mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \nabla \Delta^s \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle \\ & = -\langle \nabla \Delta^s (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle + \langle \Delta^s (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \Omega_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^{s+1} \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle \\ & \quad - \mu_2 \langle \Delta^s (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^{s+1} \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle \\ & \quad - \mu_1 \langle \Delta^s (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^{s+1} \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle \\ & \doteq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle \mathrm{div}\Delta^s \mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \mathrm{div}\Delta^s \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle \;\; = \;\; & -\langle \Delta^s \mathrm{div}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s \mathrm{div}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle \\ & + \langle \Delta^s (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \Omega_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^s \nabla \mathrm{div}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle \\ & - \mu_2 \langle \Delta^s (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^s \nabla \mathrm{div}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle \\ & - \mu_1 \langle \Delta^s (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^s \nabla \mathrm{div}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \rangle \\ \doteq \;\; & I_1' + I_2' + I_3' + I_4'. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For the nonlinear term $\frac{d}{dt}\langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle$, since $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}^\epsilon = 0$, (3.2) and Lemma 3.1 yield that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&= \langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \partial_t \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon - \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + \langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}(\partial_t \mathbf{n}_\epsilon + \mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\le -\langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + \langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}(\partial_t \mathbf{n}_\epsilon + \mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + C(\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2)(1 + \|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})^5\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2\\
&\le \langle [\mathcal{J}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times] \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\rangle\\
&\quad + \langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon, [\Delta^s \mathbf{curl}, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot] \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + \langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}(\partial_t \mathbf{n}_\epsilon + \mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + C\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2\\
&\le \langle [\mathcal{J}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times] \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\rangle\\
&\quad - \langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \Omega_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + \mu_2\langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + \mu_1\langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + \delta\|\nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2\\
&\doteq I_1'' + I_2'' + I_3'' + I_4'' + \delta\|\nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2\\
&\quad + C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta > 0$, to be decided later. Similarly, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\le -\langle [\mathcal{J}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot] \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\rangle\\
&\quad - \langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \Omega_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + \mu_2\langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + \mu_1\langle \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad + C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + \delta\|\nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2\\
&\doteq I_1''' + I_2''' + I_3''' + I_4''' + \delta\|\nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2\\
&\quad + C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2
\end{aligned}
$$

Firstly, we estimate the terms of I_1, I_1', I_1'' and I_1'''. Due to $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}^\epsilon = 0$ and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|I_1| + |I_1'|\\
&\le |\langle [\nabla \Delta^s, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot] \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle| + |\langle [\mathrm{div}\Delta^s, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot] \nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s \mathrm{div}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle|\\
&\le C_\delta(\|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2)\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + \delta\|\nabla \mathcal{J}_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2
\end{aligned}
$$

As to I_1'' and I_1''', we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{J}_\epsilon, f]\nabla_j g &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \phi_\epsilon(y) f(x-y)\nabla_j g(x-y)dy - \int_{R^d} \phi_\epsilon(y) f(x)\nabla_j g(x-y)dy \\
&\leq |\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \nabla_j \phi_\epsilon(y) \int_0^1 y\cdot\nabla f(x-\tau y)d\tau g(x-y)dy| \\
&\quad +|\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \phi_\epsilon(y)\nabla_j f(x-y) g(x-y)dy|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^d}\phi(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$. Hence, for $1\leq p\leq\infty$, by Young inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\mathcal{J}_\epsilon, f]\nabla_j g\|_{L^p} &\leq C(\|\nabla_j\phi_\epsilon(y)y\|_{L^1}\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} + \|\phi_\epsilon(y)\|_{L^1}\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty})\|g\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq C(1+\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty})\|g\|_{L^p} \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.7) and Lemma 3.1 to I_1'', we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1''| &\leq |\langle[\mathcal{J}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times]\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}\nabla_j\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^{s-1}\nabla_j\mathbf{curl}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\rangle| + \delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 \\
&\quad +C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 \\
&\leq 2\delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Similar estimates hold for the term I_1''', thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|I_1| + |I_1'| + |I_1''| + |I_1'''| \\
&\leq 5\delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, for the terms $I_2 - I_2'''$, by the formula of $\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}$, Lemma 3.1 and (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2aI_2 + 2(k_1-a)I_2' + 2(k_2-a)I_2'' + 2(k_3-a)I_2''' \\
&\leq \langle\Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\rangle \\
&\quad +2(k_3-k_2)\langle\Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^s((\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\rangle \\
&\quad +C|\langle[\Delta^s, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times]\mathbf{curlcurl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon))\rangle| \\
&\quad +C|\langle[\Delta^s, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\cdot]\mathbf{curlcurl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon))\rangle| \\
&\quad +C|\langle[\mathcal{J}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times]\Delta^s\mathbf{curlcurl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon))\rangle| \\
&\quad +C|\langle[\mathcal{J}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\cdot]\Delta^s\mathbf{curlcurl}\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon))\rangle| \\
&\quad +C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + \delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 \\
&\leq \langle(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Delta^s\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\rangle \\
&\quad +|\langle[\Delta^s, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\cdot))]\nabla_l\Omega_\epsilon, \Delta^{s-1}\nabla_l\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\rangle| \\
&\quad +C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + 2\delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2, \\
&\leq \langle(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Delta^s\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\rangle \\
&\quad +C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + 3\delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

For the terms $I_3,\cdots,I_3'''$, similar arguments yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2aI_3+2(k_1-a)I_3'+2(k_2-a)I_3''+2(k_3-a)I_3'''\\
&\le -\mu_2\langle(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times((\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Delta^s\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\,),\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\qquad+C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2+\delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Moreover, since using Lemma 3.1 and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon))\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}\\
&\le C\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}+\|\nabla^{2s}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\|_{L^2})\\
&\le C\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\\
&\quad\cdot(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}+\|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}+\|[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times]\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\|_{L^2})\\
&\le C\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}+\|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Hence, for the terms $I_4,\cdots,I_4'''$, again using Lemma 3.1, by the formula of $\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&2aI_4+2(k_1-a)I_4'+2(k_2-a)I_4''+2(k_3-a)I_4'''\\
&\le -\mu_1\langle\Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)),\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad+C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2+\delta\|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le -\mu_1\langle\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon,\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad-\mu_1\langle[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times](\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon),\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\rangle+\mu_1\langle[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times]\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon,\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\quad+C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2+2\delta\|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\doteq -\mu_1\langle\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon,\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle+I_{41}+I_{42}\\
&\quad+C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2+2\delta\|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Obviously, I_{42} is bounded by

$$|I_{42}|\ \le\ C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2+\delta\|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2.\tag{3.13}$$

The term I_{41} is a little complicated. In fact, using the formula of $\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon$ we can rewrite I_{41} as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{41}\ \le\ &2a\mu_1\langle\nabla[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times](\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon),\nabla\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&+2(k_1-a)\mu_1\langle\mathrm{div}[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times](\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon),\mathrm{div}\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&+2(k_2-a)\mu_1\langle\mathbf{curl}[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times](\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon),\Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times(\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon))\rangle\\
&+2(k_3-a)\mu_1\langle\mathbf{curl}[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times](\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon),\Delta^s(\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\rangle\\
&+2(k_3-k_2)\mu_1\langle[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times](\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon),\Delta^s((\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)(\mathbf{curl}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon))\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term of I_{41}, by Lemma 3.1 and integration by parts as in (3.11), it's easy to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\nabla[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times](\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon),\nabla\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&=\langle[\Delta^s,\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times](\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon),\nabla\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle+\langle[\Delta^s,\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times]\nabla(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon),\nabla\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle\\
&\le C\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2+\|\nabla^{2s}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}})\\
&\le C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2+\delta\|(\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Other terms are similar to deal with, and finally it's easy to obtain

$$|I_{41}| \leq C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} + 5\delta\|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

It is concluded by (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}&2aI_4 + 2(k_1 - a)I_4' + 2(k_2 - a)I_4'' + 2(k_3 - a)I_4''' \\ &\leq -\mu_1\langle\Delta^s\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle + C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} \\ &\quad +8\delta\|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Thus, by (3.3), (3.4), (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} E_s(\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \mathbf{v}_\epsilon) - \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}\langle\Delta^s\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \Delta^s\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\rangle dx + \mu_1\langle\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle \\ &\leq \langle\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\rangle \\ &\quad -\mu_2\langle\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times ((\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\rangle \\ &\quad +C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} \\ &\quad +30\delta(\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} + \|\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Step 2.3. We consider the estimate of higher order derivatives for $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon$ in $E_s(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)$. Acting the inner product $\frac{Re}{(1-\gamma)}\Delta^s\mathbf{v}_\epsilon$ on both sides of $(3.2)_1$, by the antisymmetry of $\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}\frac{d}{dt}\langle\Delta^s\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \Delta^s\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\rangle + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\langle\nabla\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \nabla\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\rangle \\ &= -\frac{Re}{1-\gamma}\langle\Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon \cdot \nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\rangle + \langle\Delta^s(W_{p^k_j}(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon, \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\nabla_i\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}^k_\epsilon), \Delta^s\nabla_j\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}^i_\epsilon\rangle \\ &\quad -\langle\Delta^s\{\beta_1(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon : \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon)\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon + \frac{\beta_3}{2}|\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon|^2(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon + \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon) \\ &\quad +\beta_2|\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon|^4\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\}, \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\rangle + \mu_2\langle\Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\rangle \\ &\quad -\langle\Delta^s(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times (\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon)\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon\rangle \\ &\doteq III_1 + III_2 + III_3 + III_4 + III_5.\end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 3.1 and $\mathrm{div}\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon = 0$ we have

$$III_1 \leq C\|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}},$$

$$III_2 \leq C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} + \delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}III_4 \leq\ & \mu_2\langle\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times (\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle \\ & +C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} + \delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}III_5 \leq\ & -\langle\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon \times (\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle \\ & +C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} + \delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}},\end{aligned}$$

and by Remark 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} III_3 \;\le\; & -\langle \beta_1(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon : \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon)\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon + \beta_2|\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon|^4\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon, \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\rangle \\ & -\frac{\beta_3}{2}|\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon|^2\langle(\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon + \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\rangle \\ & +C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})(\|\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}}) + \delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} \\ \le\; & C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})(\|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}}) + \delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up for $III_1 - III_5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}\frac{d}{dt}\langle\Delta^s\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \Delta^s\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\rangle + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\langle\Delta^s\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \Delta^s\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\rangle \\ & \le \mu_2\langle\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times(\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{D}_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle \\ & \quad -\langle\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\times(\Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{h}_\epsilon\times\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon), \Delta^s\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\Omega_\epsilon\cdot\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\rangle + 5\delta\|\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} \\ & \quad +C(\delta)\mathcal{P}(\|\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty})(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}} + \|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{2s}}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Hence, choose δ small enough and by (3.16), (3.17) we may show that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_s(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1-\gamma)}\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2} \le \mathcal{F}(E_s(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is an increasing function with $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$. It means that there exists a $T > 0$ depending only on $E_s(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{n}_0)$ such that for $\forall t \in [0, \min(T, T_\epsilon)]$,

$$E_s(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1-\gamma)}\int_0^t \|\Delta^s\nabla\mathcal{J}_\epsilon\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2}d\tau \le 2E_s(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{n}_0),$$

which implies that $T_\epsilon \ge T$ by a continuous argument. Then the uniform estimates for the approximate solutions $(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon, \mathbf{n}_\epsilon)$ on $[0, T]$ hold, which yield that there exists a local solution $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n})$ of (1.1) by the standard compactness arguments. Moreover, if $|\mathbf{n}_0| = 1$, multiply $\cdot\mathbf{n}$ on both sides of (1.9) and we can obtain that $|\mathbf{n}| = 1$. Hence the proof is complete.

3.2. Uniqueness.

The section is devoted to the proof of uniqueness for strong solutions of (1.1).

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that the Leslie coefficients satisfy (1.6)-(1.8), and the initial data* $\nabla\mathbf{n}_0 \in H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbf{v}_0 \in H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *with* $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$, *where* $s \ge 2$ *and* $d = 2, 3$. *Then there exists a unique strong solution* $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n})$ *of the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.1) in* $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$ *with the indicated data.*

Proof: For $(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{n}_0)$ with $\nabla\mathbf{n}_0 \in H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{v}_0 \in H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ $(s \ge 2)$, we may assume that there exist two strong solutions $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{n}_1)$ and $(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{n}_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T)$ with the initial data, which satisfy

$$\sup_{(x,t)\in(\mathbb{R}^d\times(0,T))}\sum_{i=1,2}\left(|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}_i| + |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_i| + |\nabla\mathbf{n}_i| + |\nabla^2\mathbf{v}_i| + |\nabla\mathbf{v}_i| + |\mathbf{v}_i|\right) \le C. \tag{3.18}$$

Firstly, we estimate $\|\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2\|_{L^2}$. By the equation (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle \mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2,\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2\rangle\\
&=\langle \mathbf{v}_2\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_2-\mathbf{v}_1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_1,\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2\rangle+\langle \Omega_2\cdot\mathbf{n}_2-\Omega_1\cdot\mathbf{n}_1,\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2\rangle\\
&\quad+\mu_1\langle \mathbf{n}_1\times(\mathbf{h}_1\times\mathbf{n}_1)-\mathbf{n}_2\times(\mathbf{h}_2\times\mathbf{n}_2),\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2\rangle\\
&\quad+\mu_2\langle \mathbf{n}_1\times(\mathbf{D}_1\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\times\mathbf{n}_1)-\mathbf{n}_2\times(\mathbf{D}_2\cdot\mathbf{n}_2\times\mathbf{n}_2),\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2\rangle\\
&\doteq A_1+A_2+A_3+A_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_i,\mathbf{h}_i,\mathbf{D}_i$ represent the functions of $\mathbf{v}_i,\mathbf{n}_i$ for $i=1,2$, respectively. For simplicity's sake, we denote

$$\delta\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2,\delta\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}_1-\mathbf{v}_2,\delta\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{h}_1-\mathbf{h}_2,$$

$$\delta\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{D}_1-\mathbf{D}_2,\delta\Omega=\Omega_1-\Omega_2,\delta\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{N}_1-\mathbf{N}_2.$$

For the terms A_1 and A_2, by the assumption (3.18) and integration by parts we have

$$|A_1|+|A_2|\le C\left(\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.19}$$

Similarly, for the term A_4, it's easier to obtain

$$A_4\le C\left(\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.20}$$

For the term A_3, by the above assumptions and the formula of $\mathbf{h}$ (2.10) we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &\le \mu_1\langle \mathbf{n}_1\times(\delta_{\mathbf{h}}\times\mathbf{n}_1),\delta\mathbf{n}\rangle+C\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le \mu_1\langle\delta\mathbf{h},\delta\mathbf{n}\rangle-\mu_1\langle(\delta\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1)\mathbf{n}_1,\delta\mathbf{n}\rangle+C\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\doteq A_{31}+A_{32}+C\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathbf{h} &= 2a(\Delta\delta\mathbf{n})+2(k_1-a)(\nabla\mathrm{div}\delta\mathbf{n})\\
&\quad-2(k_2-a)(\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{n}_1\times(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_1\times\mathbf{n}_1))-\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{n}_2\times(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_2\times\mathbf{n}_2)))\\
&\quad-2(k_3-a)(\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_1\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\mathbf{n}_1)-\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_2\cdot\mathbf{n}_2\mathbf{n}_2))\\
&\quad-2(k_3-k_2)((\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_1\cdot\mathbf{n}_1)(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_1)-(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_2\cdot\mathbf{n}_2)(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for A_{31},

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{31} &\le -2a\mu_1\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2-2\mu_1(k_1-a)\|\mathrm{div}\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2-2\mu_1(k_2-a)\|\mathbf{curl}\delta\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{n}_1\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad-2\mu_1(k_3-a)\|\mathbf{curl}\delta\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\|_{L^2}^2+C(a,\mu_1)\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+a\mu_1\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le C\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

For A_{32}, similar arguments yield that

$$A_{32}\le C\left(\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.22}$$

Then, combining the above estimates we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\le C\left(\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2\right). \tag{3.23}$$

Secondly, we need to estimate $\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}$. Multiplying $(\mathbf{v}_1-\mathbf{v}_2)$ on both sides of the equation $(1.1)_1$ and integrating we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\langle\delta\mathbf{v},\delta\mathbf{v}\rangle+\frac{\gamma}{Re}\langle\nabla\delta\mathbf{v},\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\rangle\\
&=\langle\mathbf{v}_2\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}_2-\mathbf{v}_1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}_1,\delta\mathbf{v}\rangle+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\langle\sigma_2^L-\sigma_1^L,\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\rangle+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\langle\sigma_2^E-\sigma_1^E,\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\rangle\\
&\doteq A_5+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}A_6+A_7,
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$A_5\le C\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\gamma}{16Re}\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$A_7\le C\big(\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\big)+\frac{\gamma}{16Re}\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The estimate of A_6 is difficult. First we note that, for $i=1,2$

$$\sigma_i^L=\alpha_1(\mathbf{n}_i\mathbf{n}_i:\mathbf{D}_i)\mathbf{n}_i\mathbf{n}_i+\alpha_2\mathbf{n}_i\mathbf{N}_i+\alpha_3\mathbf{N}_i\mathbf{n}_i+\alpha_4\mathbf{D}_i+\alpha_5\mathbf{n}_i\mathbf{n}_i\cdot\mathbf{D}_i+\alpha_6\mathbf{D}_i\cdot\mathbf{n}_i\mathbf{n}_i,$$

and by the assumptions $|\mathbf{D}_i|+|\mathbf{N}_i|+|(\mathbf{n}_i)_t|\le C$ in $\mathbb{R}^d\times[0,T]$ with $i=1,2$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_6\quad&\le\quad-\big\{\langle\alpha_1(\mathbf{n}_1\mathbf{n}_1:\delta\mathbf{D})\mathbf{n}_1\mathbf{n}_1+\alpha_2\mathbf{n}_1(\delta\mathbf{N})+\alpha_3(\delta\mathbf{N})\mathbf{n}_1+\alpha_4\delta\mathbf{D},\delta\mathbf{D}+\delta\Omega\rangle+\\
&\qquad\langle\alpha_5\mathbf{n}_1\mathbf{n}_1\cdot\delta\mathbf{D}+\alpha_6\delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\mathbf{n}_1,\delta\mathbf{D}+\delta\Omega\rangle\big\}+\frac{\gamma}{16Re}\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\doteq\quad A_8+\frac{\gamma}{16(1-\gamma)}\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by the same arguments as in Proposition 2.1 for (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
A_8\quad&\le\quad-(\alpha_1+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})\|\mathbf{n}_1\mathbf{n}_1:\delta\mathbf{D}\|_{L^2}^2-(\alpha_5+\alpha_6-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})\|\delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\|_{L^2}^2-\alpha_4\|\delta\mathbf{D}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\qquad-\langle\delta\mathbf{h},\delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\rangle-\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\langle\delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}_1,(\delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1)\times\mathbf{n}_1\rangle\\
&\qquad+\frac{\gamma}{16(1-\gamma)}\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Remark 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{3\gamma}{4Re}\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\langle\delta\mathbf{h},\delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\rangle-\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}(\frac{1-\gamma}{Re})\langle\delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}_1,(\delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1)\times\mathbf{n}_1\rangle+C\big(\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\big).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

At last, to control the first two terms of the above inequlity, we introduce the functional $\overline{W}(\mathbf{n}_1,\nabla(\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2))$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{W}(\mathbf{n}_1,\nabla(\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2))\\
&=a|\nabla(\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2)|^2+(k_1-a)(\mathrm{div}(\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2))^2+(k_2-a)|\mathbf{n}_1\times(\nabla\times(\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2))|^2\\
&\quad+(k_3-a)|\mathbf{n}_1\cdot(\nabla\times(\mathbf{n_1}-\mathbf{n_2}))|^{\mathbf{2}}\\
&=a|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_1-a)|\mathrm{div}\delta\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_2-a)|\mathbf{n}_1\times(\nabla\times\delta\mathbf{n})|^2+(k_3-a)|\mathbf{n}_1\cdot(\nabla\times\delta\mathbf{n})|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, making the same computations as in Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\alpha \overline{W}_{p^l_\alpha} - \overline{W}_{n^l} &= 2a\Delta\delta\mathbf{n} + 2(k_1-a)\nabla\mathrm{div}\delta\mathbf{n} - 2(k_2-a)\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{n}_1\times(\mathbf{curl}\delta\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{n}_1)\\ &\quad -2(k_3-a)\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curl}\delta\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\mathbf{n}_1)+A_9,\end{aligned}$$

where A_9 is the term without $\partial_{ij}\mathbf{n}_1$, and $|A_9|\le C(|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}|+|\delta\mathbf{n}|)$. Hence

$$|\nabla_\alpha\overline{W}_{p^l_\alpha} - \overline{W}_{n^l} - (\mathbf{h}_1-\mathbf{h}_2)| \le C(|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}|+|\delta\mathbf{n}|). \tag{3.25}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\overline{W}(\mathbf{n}_1,\nabla(\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2))dx\\ &=\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\overline{W}_{\mathbf{n}^l}(\mathbf{n}_1^l-\mathbf{n}_2^l)_t+\overline{W}_{p_i^l}\partial_t\nabla_i(\mathbf{n}_1^l-\mathbf{n}_2^l)dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\overline{W}_{\mathbf{n}^l}(\mathbf{n}_2^l)_t dx\\ &\le\langle\overline{W}_{\mathbf{n}^l}-\nabla_i\overline{W}_{p_i^l},\delta\mathbf{n}_t^l+\mathbf{v}_1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_1^l-\mathbf{v}_2\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_2^l-(\mathbf{v}_1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_1^l-\mathbf{v}_2\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_2^l)\rangle+C\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\doteq A_{10}-\langle\overline{W}_{\mathbf{n}^l}-\nabla_i\overline{W}_{p_i^l},\mathbf{v}_1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_1^l-\mathbf{v}_2\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_2^l\rangle+C\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}&-\langle\overline{W}_{\mathbf{n}^l}-\nabla_i\overline{W}_{p_i^l}),\mathbf{v}_1\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_1^l-\mathbf{v}_2\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_2^l\rangle\\ &\le\Big|\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\overline{W}_{p_i^l}\nabla_i\mathbf{v}_1^k\nabla_k(\mathbf{n}_1^l-\mathbf{n}_2^l)dx\Big|+\Big|\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}(\overline{W}_{\mathbf{n}^l}-\nabla_i\overline{W}_{p_i^l})(\delta\mathbf{v})\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}_2^l dx\Big|+C\|\nabla(\delta\mathbf{n})\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\le\frac{\gamma}{16(1-\gamma)}\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+C(\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}).\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term A_{10}, since $|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|+|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}_i|\le C$ for $i=1,2$, by (3.25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_{10} &\le \langle\overline{W}_{\mathbf{n}^l}-\nabla_i\overline{W}_{p_i^l},-\delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}_1+\mu_1\mathbf{n}_1\times(\delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}_1)+\mu_2\mathbf{n}_1\times(\delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\times\mathbf{n}_1)\rangle\\ &\qquad +C(\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}|_{L^2}^2)\\ &\le \langle\delta\mathbf{h},\delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\rangle-\mu_1\langle\delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}_1,\delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}_1\rangle-\mu_2\langle\delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}_1,\delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\times\mathbf{n}_1\rangle\\ &\quad+\frac{\gamma}{16(1-\gamma)}\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+C(\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}|_{L^2}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\overline{W}(\mathbf{n}_1,\nabla(\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2))dx\\ &\le\langle\delta\mathbf{h},\delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\rangle-\mu_1\langle\delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}_1,\delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}_1\rangle-\mu_2\langle\delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}_1,\delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}_1\times\mathbf{n}_1\rangle\\ &\quad+\frac{\gamma}{4(1-\gamma)}\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+C(\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\delta\mathbf{n}|_{L^2}^2).\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

Then, combine the above all estimates (3.23), (3.24) and (3.26), and noting that $\mu_2=-\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\overline{W}(\mathbf{n}_1,\nabla(\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2))dx)\\ &\le C(\|\delta\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2+\int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\overline{W}(\mathbf{n}_1,\nabla(\mathbf{n}_1-\mathbf{n}_2))dx),\end{aligned}$$

which complete the proof by Gronwall's inequality.

3.3. **Blow up criterion.** In this subsection, we will prove a blow up criterion for strong solution $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n})$ of (1.1) constructed in Section 3.1 under the assumption (1.6)-(1.8) with the data $\nabla \mathbf{n}_0 \in H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{v}_0 \in H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)(d = 2 \,\text{or}\, 3)$. Let T^* be the maximal existence time of the solution. If $T^* < +\infty$, then it is necessary to hold that

$$\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla \times \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 dt = +\infty.$$

Recall that $|\mathbf{n}| = 1$, $\mathbf{n} \times (\Delta \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}) = \Delta \mathbf{n} + |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 \mathbf{n}$, and we can obtain much precise a priori estimates than Section 3.1. In fact, we are aimed at the following energy estimates,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}) \le C(1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}) E_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}), \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}) \ &= \ \|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} W(\mathbf{n}, \nabla \mathbf{n}) dx \\ &\quad + a \|\Delta^s \nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + (k_1 - a) \|\Delta^s \mathrm{div} \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + (k_2 - a) \|\mathbf{n} \times \Delta^s \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + (k_3 - a) \|\mathbf{n} \cdot \Delta^s \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)} \|\Delta^s \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Logarithmic Sobolev inequality in [3]

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \le C\big(1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \times \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \log(3 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^k})\big),$$

for any $k \ge 3$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}) \le C(1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \times \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}) \log(3 + E_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n})) E_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}).$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to the above inequality,

$$E_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}) \le (3 + E_s(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{n}_0))^{\exp\left(C \int_0^t (1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla \times \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}) d\tau\right)},$$

for any $t \in [0, T^*)$. Hence we complete the proof if (3.27) holds. In order to obtain (3.27), we sketch the proof since it's similar to the arguments in Section 3.1, and we divide it into three steps.

Step 1. Estimate the lower order terms. As in Proposition 2.1, the energy law holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)} |\mathbf{v}|^2 + W(\mathbf{n}, \nabla \mathbf{n}) dx + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{h}|^2 dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \beta_1 |\mathbf{D} : \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}|^2 + \beta_2 \mathbf{D} : \mathbf{D} + \beta_3 |\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n}|^2 dx \le 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Using (1.9) and (2.10), by integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_0\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\langle \partial_t \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle \\ &= -2\langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{n}_0 + \mathbf{n} \times \big((\Omega \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mu_1 \mathbf{h} - \mu_2 \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \times \mathbf{n}\big), \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle \\ &\quad -2\langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_0), \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_0 \rangle \\ &\le C \Big(\|\nabla \mathbf{n}_0\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_0)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_0)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_2 \Big) \\ &\le C E_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where we have used $\nabla \mathbf{n} \in C\big([0, T^*); H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)$.

Step 2. Estimates the higher order derivatives of $\mathbf{n}$. As in Section 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle\nabla\Delta^s\mathbf{n},\nabla\Delta^s\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&=-\langle\nabla\Delta^s(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\rangle+\langle\Delta^s\left(\mathbf{n}\times((\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})\right),\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&\quad-\mu_2\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\rangle-\mu_1\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&\doteq II_1+II_2+II_3+II_4,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle\mathrm{div}\Delta^s\mathbf{n},\mathrm{div}\Delta^s\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&=-\langle\Delta^s\mathrm{div}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\Delta^s\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}\rangle+\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times((\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^s\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&\quad-\mu_2\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^s\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}\rangle-\mu_1\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^s\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&\doteq II_1'+II_2'+II_3'+II_4'
\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

Moreover, $\langle\mathbf{n}\times\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\mathbf{n}\times\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle=\langle\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle-\langle\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&=-\langle\mathbf{curl}\Delta^s(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle-\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times((\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^s\mathbf{curlcurln}\rangle\\
&+\mu_2\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^s\mathbf{curlcurln}\rangle+\mu_1\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^s\mathbf{curlcurln}\rangle\\
&\doteq II_1''+II_2''+II_3''+II_4''
\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&=\langle\partial_t\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}+\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}\partial_t\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&=-\langle(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}+\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&\quad+\langle(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}+\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&=\langle\mathbf{n}\cdot(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)(\Delta^s\mathbf{curln})-\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&\quad+\langle\Delta^s(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\mathbf{curl}\left((\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln})\mathbf{n}\right)\rangle\\
&\quad+\langle(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&=-\langle[\Delta^s\mathbf{curl},\mathbf{v}\cdot]\nabla\mathbf{n},(\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln})\mathbf{n}\rangle+\langle\Delta^s(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}((\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curln})\mathbf{n})\rangle\\
&\quad+\langle\Delta^s(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\mathbf{curl}\left((\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln})\mathbf{n}\right)-\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}((\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curln})\mathbf{n})\rangle\\
&\quad+\langle(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \;& -\langle[\Delta^s\mathbf{curl},\mathbf{v}\cdot]\nabla\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}\rangle-\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times((\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n})\rangle\\
&+\mu_2\langle\Delta^s\left(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})\right),\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n})\rangle\\
&+\mu_1\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n})\rangle\\
&+\langle\Delta^s(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),(\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}-\Delta^s((\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})\rangle\\
&+\langle\Delta^s(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\mathbf{n}\times\nabla\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})-\mathbf{n}\times\nabla(\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})\rangle\\
&+\langle\Delta^s(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}),\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times\nabla(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}))-\mathbf{n}\times\nabla\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})\rangle\\
&+\langle(\partial_t\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^s\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
\doteq\;& II_1'''+II_2'''+II_3'''+II_4'''+II_5'''+II_6'''+II_7'''+II_8''',
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.33}
$$

where we have used the following relation, for a function f and a vector field u,

$$\mathbf{curl}(fu)=f\mathbf{curl}(u)+\nabla f\times u.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|II_1|+|II_1'|+|II_1''|+|II_1'''|\\
&\le C(\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{H^{2s}}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{H^{2s}})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{H^{2s}}\\
&\le C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2)\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{H^{2s}}^2+\delta\|\nabla\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^{2s}}^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.34}
$$

where $\delta>0$, to be decided later.

For the terms $II_2,\cdots,II_2'''$, we will use the following Gagliardo-Sobolev inequality on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (for example, see [1]). Let $\alpha\in N$ and $\alpha\ge 2s-1$, then for $1\le j\le[\frac{\alpha}{2}]$, $[\frac{\alpha}{2}]+1\le k\le\alpha$ and $f\in H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\|\nabla^j f\|_{L^\infty}\le C\|\nabla f\|_{H^\alpha}^{\frac{j}{\alpha+1-d/2}}\|f\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\frac{j}{\alpha+1-d/2}},\quad \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^2}\le C\|\nabla f\|_{H^\alpha}^{\frac{k-d/2}{\alpha+1-d/2}}\|f\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\frac{k-d/2}{\alpha+1-d/2}}\tag{3.35}$$

Hence, for $\alpha\ge 2s-1$ with $s\ge 2$, the following inequalities hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla^{\alpha+1}\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla^\alpha\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla^\alpha\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{H^{\alpha+1}},\\
&(\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2)\|\nabla^\alpha\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{\alpha+1}\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.36}
$$

by Lemma 3.1, (2.10) and the above inequalities (3.36) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2aII_2+2(k_1-a)II_2'+2(k_2-a)II_2''+2(k_3-k_2)II_2'''\\
&\le\langle\mathbf{n}\times\left((\Delta^s\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}\right),\Delta^s\mathbf{h}\rangle+|\langle\nabla[\Delta^s,\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{n}\cdot))]\Omega,\Delta^{s-1}\nabla\mathbf{h}\rangle|\\
&\quad+C|\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times((\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})),\Delta^s(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})\rangle|\\
&\le\langle\mathbf{n}\times\left((\Delta^s\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}\right),\Delta^s\mathbf{h}\rangle\\
&\quad+C(\|\nabla^{2s}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla^{2s}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^{2s+1}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{H^{2s}}\\
&\quad+C(\|\nabla^{2s+1}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^{2s}\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{H^{2s}}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\le\langle\mathbf{n}\times\left((\Delta^s\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}\right),\Delta^s\mathbf{h}\rangle+C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2)(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{H^{2s}}^2+\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^{2s}}^2)\\
&\quad+\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{H^{2s}}^2+\|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.37}
$$

Similar arguments hold for the terms $II_3, \cdots, II_3'''$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2aII_3 + 2(k_1-a)II_3' + 2(k_2-a)II_3'' + 2(k_3-k_2)II_3''' \\
&\le -\mu_2\langle \mathbf{n}\times((\Delta^s\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}), \Delta^s\mathbf{h}\rangle + C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2)(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^{2s}}^2) \\
&\quad +\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + \|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2). \qquad (3.38)
\end{aligned}$$

For the term II_5''', by Lemma 3.1, (1.9) and Gagliardo-Sobolev inequality (3.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|II_5'''| \\
\le\ & C(\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta^s\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Delta^s\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}) + \|\Delta^s\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}) \\
&\cdot(\|\Delta^s\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta^s\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}) \\
\le\ & C(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty})\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta(\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2})^2, \qquad (3.39)
\end{aligned}$$

and similar arguments hold for $II_6''' - II_8'''$.

For the terms $II_4 - II_4'''$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2aII_4 + 2(k_1-a)II_4' + 2(k_2-a)II_4'' + 2(k_3-k_2)II_4''' \\
&= -\mu_1\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n})), \Delta^s(\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l})\rangle \\
&= -\mu_1\langle\Delta^s\left(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{h}-\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^k})\times\mathbf{n}\right), \Delta^s(\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l})\rangle \\
&\quad -\mu_1\langle\Delta^s\left(\mathbf{n}\times(\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^k}\times\mathbf{n}\right), \Delta^s(\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l})\rangle \\
&\doteq II_{41} + II_{42}. \qquad (3.40)
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$II_{41} \le C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1)\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta\|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (3.41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
II_{42} \ =\ & -\mu_1\langle\Delta^s\left(\mathbf{n}\times(\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l}\times\mathbf{n})\right), \Delta^s(2a\Delta\mathbf{n})\rangle \\
& -\mu_1\langle\Delta^s\left(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l} - 2a\Delta\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})\right), \Delta^s(\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l} - 2a\Delta\mathbf{n})\rangle \\
& -2a\mu_1\langle\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\times(\Delta\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{n})), \Delta^s(\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l} - 2a\Delta\mathbf{n})\rangle \\
\doteq\ & II_{43} + II_{44} + II_{45}. \qquad (3.42)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\nabla\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{n}) = \nabla(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}) - \Delta\mathbf{n}$, and direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^l}\cdot n^l \qquad (3.43) \\
=\ & -2k_2|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2 - 2(k_3-k_2)(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})^2 - 2(k_1-k_2)(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n})^2 + 2(k_1-k_2)\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.8), Lemma 3.1 and (3.36) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
II_{43} &= -2a\mu_1\langle\Delta^s\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha},\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\rangle+2a\mu_1\langle\Delta^s((\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}\cdot n^l)\mathbf{n}),\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&= -4a^2\mu_1\langle\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n},\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\rangle-4a(k_1-a)\mu_1\langle\nabla\Delta^s\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n},\nabla\Delta^s\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&\quad -4a(k_2-a)\mu_1\langle\nabla\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\nabla\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&\quad -4a(k_3-k_2)\mu_1\langle\nabla_l\Delta^s(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curln}),\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla_l\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&\quad -4a(k_3-k_2)\mu_1\langle[\nabla_l\Delta^s,\mathbf{n}](\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curln}),\nabla_l\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&\quad +2a\mu_1\langle\Delta^s((\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}\cdot n^l)\mathbf{n}),\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&\le -4a^2\mu_1\langle\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n},\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\rangle-4a(k_1-a)\mu_1\langle\nabla\Delta^s\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n},\nabla\Delta^s\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}\rangle\\
&\quad -4a(k_2-a)\mu_1\langle\nabla\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\nabla\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&\quad -4a(k_3-k_2)\mu_1\langle\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla_l\Delta^s(\mathbf{curln}),\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla_l\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&\quad +C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty}+1)\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}+2\delta\|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last term of the second equality we have used (3.43) and the following observation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Delta^s(\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n})\cdot n^k),\Delta^{s+1}n^k\rangle\\
&=\langle\Delta^s\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}),n^k\Delta^{s+1}n^k\rangle+\langle[\Delta^s,n^k]\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}),\Delta^{s+1}n^k\rangle\\
&=\langle\Delta^s\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}),\Delta^s(n^k\Delta n^k)\rangle-\langle\Delta^s\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}),[\Delta^s,n^k]\Delta n^k\rangle\\
&\quad+\langle[\Delta^s,n^k]\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}),\Delta^{s+1}n^k\rangle, \qquad (3.44)
\end{aligned}$$

which follows from Lemma 3.1, (3.36) and $n^k\Delta n^k=-|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2$ that

$$\langle\Delta^s(\nabla_l(n^l\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n})\cdot n^k),\Delta^{s+1}n^k\rangle\le C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty}+1)\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}+\delta\|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Due to $a=\min\{k_1,k_2,k_3\}$ and $k_2-a\ge k_2-k_3$ when $k_2>k_3$, thus we have

$$II_{43}\le -4a^2\mu_1\langle\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n},\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\rangle+C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty}+1)\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}+2\delta\|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}. \qquad (3.45)$$

Similarly, we may obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
II_{44} &\le -\mu_1\langle\mathbf{n}\times\Delta^s(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}-2a\Delta\mathbf{n}),\mathbf{n}\times\Delta^s(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^k_\alpha}-2a\Delta\mathbf{n})\rangle\\
&\quad +C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty}+1)\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}+\delta\|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}, \qquad (3.46)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
II_{45} &\le -4a(k_1-a)\mu_1\langle\nabla\Delta^s\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n},\nabla\Delta^s\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}\rangle-4a(k_2-a)\mu_1\langle\nabla\Delta^s\mathbf{curln},\nabla\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&\quad -4a(k_3-k_2)\mu_1\langle\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla_l\Delta^s(\mathbf{curln}),\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla_l\Delta^s\mathbf{curln}\rangle\\
&\quad +C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty}+1)\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}+\delta\|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}\\
&\le C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty}+1)\|\Delta^s\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}+\delta\|\Delta^{s+1}\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^2}. \qquad (3.47)
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Estimates of higher order derivatives of $\mathbf{v}$. Obviously we only need to consider the terms III_2-III_5. Especially, Lemma 3.1 and (3.36) yield that

$$III_2\le C_\delta(1+\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{H^{2s}}+\delta\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^{2s}}, \qquad (3.48)$$

$$III_4\le\mu_2\langle\mathbf{n}\times(\Delta^s\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}),\Delta^s\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}\rangle+C_\delta(1+\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{H^{2s}}+\delta\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^{2s}}, \qquad (3.49)$$

$$III_5\le-\langle\mathbf{n}\times(\Delta^s\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}),\Delta^s\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}\rangle+C_\delta(1+\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{H^{2s}}+\delta\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^{2s}}. \qquad (3.50)$$

Now we estimate one term of III_3. Since Lemma 3.1 and (3.36) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}&|\langle \nabla_j[\Delta^s, \mathbf{n}^j\mathbf{n}\cdot]\nabla\mathbf{v}, \Delta^s\mathbf{v}\rangle|\\ &\le C(\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{2s}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{H^{2s}} + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{H^{2s}})\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^{2s}},\end{aligned}$$

while for the first term, by the inequality (3.35) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{2s}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} &\le C(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{2s+1}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2})^{1-\frac{1}{2s-\frac{d}{2}}}(\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{2s+1}\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2s-\frac{d}{2}}}\\ &\le C(\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{2s+1}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{2s+1}\mathbf{n}\|_{L^2})\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\langle \nabla_j[\Delta^s, \mathbf{n}^j\mathbf{n}\cdot]\nabla\mathbf{v}, \Delta^s\mathbf{v}\rangle|\\ &\le C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty})(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^{2s}} + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{H^{2s}}) + \delta\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^{2s}}\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it's not difficult to obtain the estimates of III_3,

$$III_3 \le C_\delta(\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty})(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^{2s}} + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{H^{2s}}) + \delta\|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^{2s}}. \tag{3.51}$$

At last, by choosing δ sufficiently small, it is concluded from (3.28)-(3.51) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_s(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n}) \le C(1 + \|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty})E_s(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n}).$$

Hence the proof is complete.

4. GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTION

In this section, we prove global existence of weak solutions of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Firstly we derive higher regularity estimates and local monotonicity inequality under the condition that local energy is uniformly small, where we follow the basic spirit of Struwe [18] which is later developed by Hong-Xin in [9]. Finally, we conclude the global existence by local existence in Section 3 and a priori estimates in this section.

For two constants τ and T with $0 \le \tau < T$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}V(\tau,T) :\ &= \{\mathbf{n} : \mathbb{R}^2\times[\tau,T] \to S^2 |\ \mathbf{n} \text{ is measurable and satisfies}\\ &\quad \text{esssup}_{\tau\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{n}(\cdot,t)|^2dx + \int_\tau^T\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2 + |\partial_t\mathbf{n}|^2dxdt < \infty\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}H(\tau,T) :\ &= \{\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R}^2\times[\tau,T] \to \mathbb{R}^2 |\ \mathbf{v} \text{ is measurable and satisfies}\\ &\quad \text{esssup}_{\tau\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}(\cdot,t)|^2dx + \int_\tau^T\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2dxdt < \infty\}.\end{aligned}$$

4.1. **A priori regularity estimates.**

The following technical lemma could be found in [18].

Lemma 4.1. *There are constants C and R_0 such that for any $u \in V(0,T)$ and any $R \in (0,R_0]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla u|^4dxdt &\le C\text{esssup}_{0\le t\le T, x\in\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{B_R(x)}|\nabla u(\cdot,t)|^2dx\\ &\qquad\cdot\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla^2u|^2 + R^{-2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla u|^2dxdt\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

By the same proof as in [18], we can get that there exists a constant C_1 such that for any $f \in H(0,T)$ and any $R > 0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} |f|^4 dxdt \quad &\leq \quad C_1 \text{esssup}_{0\leq t\leq T, x\in\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |f(\cdot,t)|^2 dx \\ &\quad \cdot\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} |\nabla f|^2 dxdt + R^{-2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} |f|^2 dxdt\Big). \qquad (4.2)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that the Leslie coefficients satisfy (1.6)-(1.8). Let* $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n}) \in H(0,T)\times V(0,T)$ *be a solution of (1.1) with initial values* $\mathbf{v}_0 \in L^2$ *and* $\mathbf{n}_0 \in H^1_b$*. Then* $\exists\ \epsilon_1 > 0$ *and* $R_0 > 0$ *such that if*

$$\mathrm{esssup}_{0\leq t\leq T, x\in \mathrm{R}^2} \int_{\mathrm{B_R(x)}} |\mathbf{v}(\cdot,\mathrm{t})|^2 + |\nabla\mathbf{n}(\cdot,\mathrm{t})|^2 \mathrm{dx} < \epsilon_1, \qquad \forall \mathrm{R} \in (0, \mathrm{R}_0],$$

then

$$\int_{R^2\times[0,T]} |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2 + |\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2 dxdt \leq C(1+TR^{-2})\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx, \qquad (4.3)$$

$$\int_{R^2\times[0,T]} |\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4 + |\mathbf{v}|^4 dxdt \leq C\epsilon_1(1+TR^{-2})\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx, \qquad (4.4)$$

where $e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)$ *denotes* $W(\mathbf{n}_0,\nabla\mathbf{n}_0) + \frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}|\mathbf{v}_0|^2$.

Proof: Since

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (W_{n^l} - \nabla_i W_{p^l_i})\cdot n^l_t dx = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathbf{h}\cdot\mathbf{n}_t dx,$$

multiplying (1.9) with $\mathbf{h}$, using (2.6) and the definition of $\mathbf{h}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})dx + \mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\mathbf{h}dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathbf{h}\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx - \mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} ((\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{h}dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathbf{h}\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx - \mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx - \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} W_{p^k_j}\nabla_i n^k\nabla_j v^i dx, \ (4.5)\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma_1}$ and $\mu_2 = -\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}$. On the other hand, $(1.1)_3$ implies $\mathbf{N} = \frac{1}{\gamma_1}\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{h}-\gamma_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})$, hence by (2.4) and Remark 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} |\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2 dxdt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} W_{p^k_j}\nabla_i n^k\nabla_j v^i dxdt + \frac{1-\gamma}{2Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\mathbf{v}_0|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} \Big((\alpha_1 + \frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})^2 + (\alpha_5+\alpha_6-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2 + \alpha_4\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{D}\Big)dxdt \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} \mathbf{h}\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dxdt + \mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} (\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dxdt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} W_{p^k_j}\nabla_i n^k\nabla_j v^i dxdt + \frac{1-\gamma}{2Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\mathbf{v}_0|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} \mathbf{h}\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dxdt + \mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]} (\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dxdt \qquad (4.6)\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it follows from (4.5) and (4.6) that

$$\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\mathbf{h}dxdt+\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2dx\le E(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0). \tag{4.7}$$

Now we will estimate the term $\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\mathbf{h}dxdt$. Due to $(W_{n^l})=2(k_3-k_2)(\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{n})$ and $|\mathbf{n}|=1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\mathbf{h} &= \Big(\mathbf{n}\times(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}\times\mathbf{n})\Big)\cdot\mathbf{h}-(\mathbf{n}\times(W_{n^l}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\mathbf{h}\\
&= \mathbf{n}\times(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}\times\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{h}-(W_{n^l}-W_{n^l}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{h}\\
&= \mathbf{n}\times(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}\times\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{h}-2(k_3-k_2)(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{h})\\
&\quad +2(k_3-k_2)(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{n})^2\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{h}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3 and integrating by parts we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}\Delta\mathbf{n}\cdot(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}-2a\Delta\mathbf{n})dxdt\\
&\ge 2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}\{(k_1-a)|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_2-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{curln}\times\mathbf{n})|^2+(k_3-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{n})|^2\}dxdt\\
&\quad -C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2)dxdt
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 again, $\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{n}=-|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2$ and the above estimates, we derived

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\mathbf{h}dxdt\\
&\ge\int_{R^2\times[0,T]}(\mathbf{n}\times(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}\times\mathbf{n}))\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}dxdt-C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2)dxdt\\
&\ge 2a\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{n}dxdt+2a\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}\Delta\mathbf{n}\cdot(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}-2a\Delta\mathbf{n})dxdt\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}-2a\Delta\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}-2a\Delta\mathbf{n})dxdt\\
&\quad-C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2)dxdt\\
&\ge 4a\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}\{a|\Delta\mathbf{n}|^2+2(k_1-a)|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}|^2+2(k_2-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{curln}\times\mathbf{n})|^2\\
&\quad+2(k_3-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{curln}\cdot\mathbf{n})|^2\}dxdt-C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2)dxdt
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where we have used the following relationship

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}-2a\Delta\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}-2a\Delta\mathbf{n})dxdt\\
&=\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|(\nabla_\alpha W_{p^l_\alpha}-2a\Delta\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})|^2dxdt\ge 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}\{a|\Delta\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_1-a)|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_2-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{n})|^2\}dxdt\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}(k_3-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n})|^2dxdt+\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2dxdt\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4dxdt+E(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 and (4.2), we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4+|\mathbf{v}|^4dxdt\\
&\le C\epsilon_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2dxdt+C\epsilon_1R^{-2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T]}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (4.3) and (4.4) follows from the above two estimates. Thus the lemma is complete.

The following lemma is a local monotonicity inequality for strong solution $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that the Leslie coefficients satisfy (1.6)-(1.8). Let* $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ *be a solution of (1.1) with initial values* $(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)$ *with* $\mathbf{n}_0\in V(0,T)$ *and* $\mathbf{v}_0\in H(0,T)$. *Assume that there exists* $\epsilon_1>0$ *and* $R_0>0$, *such that*

$$\mathrm{esssup}_{x\in\mathbf{R}^2,0\le t\le T}\int_{B_{R_0}(x)}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2dx<\epsilon_1.$$

Then for all $s\in[0,T]$, $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^2$, *and* $R\le R_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_R(x_0)}e(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})(\cdot,s)dx+\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\int_0^s\int_{B_R(x_0)}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2dxdt+\frac{1}{2\gamma_1}\int_0^s\int_{B_R(x_0)}|\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{h}|^2dxdt\\
&\le\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)}e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx+C_2\frac{s^{1/2}}{R}(1+\frac{s}{R^2})^{1/2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where C_2 *is a uniform constant.*

Proof: Let $\phi\in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$ be a cut-off function with $\phi\equiv1$ on $B_R(x_0)$ and $|\nabla\phi|\le\frac{C}{R}$, $|\nabla^2\phi|\le\frac{C}{R^2}$ for some $R\le R_0$.

Multiply $(1.1)_1$ by $\phi^2\mathbf{v}$, and integrate by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}|^2\phi^2dx+\frac{\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2\phi^2dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\mathbf{v}|^2+2p)\phi v^l\nabla_l\phi dx+\frac{\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}|^2(|\nabla\phi|^2+\phi\Delta\phi)dx\\
&\quad+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}W_{p_j^k}\nabla_in^k\nabla_jv^i\phi^2+W_{p_j^k}\nabla_in^kv^i\nabla_j\phi^2dx-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\sigma^L:\nabla(\mathbf{v}\phi^2)dx,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

while similar to (4.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\sigma^L:\nabla(\mathbf{v}\phi^2)dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{v}\cdot\sigma^L\cdot\nabla\phi^2dx\\
&\le-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}((\alpha_1+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})^2+(\alpha_5+\alpha_6-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1})|\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2+\alpha_4\mathbf{D}:\mathbf{D})\phi^2dx\\
&\quad-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{h}\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx+\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\phi^2dx\\
&\le-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{h}\cdot(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx+\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\phi^2dx
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})\phi^2dx=\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\phi^2\mathbf{h}\cdot(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{n}_t-\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n})dx-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}W_{p_j^k}\partial_tn^k\nabla_j\phi^2dx,$$

and as (2.6), we can obtain

$$\int_{R^2}\phi^2\mathbf{h}\cdot(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{n}dx=\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(W\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\phi^2-\phi^2W_{p_j^k}\nabla_jv^l\nabla_ln^k-W_{p_j^k}v^l\nabla_ln^k\nabla_j(\phi^2))dx.$$

Moreover, using (1.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\phi^2\mathbf{h}\cdot(\mathbf{n}_t+\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{n})dx\\
&=-\frac{1}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{h}-\gamma_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{h}\phi^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\phi^2\mathbf{h}\cdot\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the above estimates together yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{Re}{1-\gamma}|\mathbf{v}|^2\phi^2dx+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})\phi^2dx\\
&\quad+\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2\phi^2dx+\frac{1}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{h}|^2\phi^2\\
&\le\frac{Re}{1-\gamma}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\phi(|\mathbf{v}|^2+2|p|)|\mathbf{v}||\nabla\phi|dx+\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}|^2(|\nabla\phi|^2+\phi|\Delta\phi|)dx\\
&\quad-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{v}\cdot\sigma^L\cdot\nabla\phi^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}W\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\phi^2dx-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}W_{p_j^k}\partial_tn^k\nabla_j\phi^2dx\\
&\doteq B_1+B_2+B_3+B_4+B_5.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

Now, we estimate the following term

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3&=-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{v}\cdot\sigma^L\cdot\nabla\phi^2dx\\
&=-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{v}\nabla\phi^2:(\alpha_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn}+\alpha_4\mathbf{D}+\alpha_5\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}+\alpha_6\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{nn})dx\\
&\quad-\alpha_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{N}\cdot\nabla\phi^2)dx-\alpha_3\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{N})(\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla\phi^2)dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}||\nabla\mathbf{v}|\phi|\nabla\phi|dx-\alpha_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{N}\cdot\nabla\phi^2)dx-\alpha_3\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{N})(\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla\phi^2)dx
\end{aligned}$$

Using (1.9) again,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{N}\cdot\nabla\phi^2)dx+\alpha_3\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{N})(\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla\phi^2)dx\\
&=\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\alpha_3((\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla)\phi^2)\mathbf{v}+\alpha_2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n})\nabla\phi^2]\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx\\
&\quad+\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\alpha_3((\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla)\phi^2)\mathbf{v}+\alpha_2(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{n})\nabla\phi^2]\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}|\phi|\nabla\phi||\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{h}|dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}|\phi|\nabla\phi||\nabla\mathbf{v}|dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}|\mathbf{v}|^2\phi^2+W(\mathbf{n},\nabla\mathbf{n})\phi^2dx+\frac{3\gamma}{4(1-\gamma)}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2\phi^2dx+\frac{3}{4\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{h}|^2\phi^2dx\\
&\le C(B_1+B_2)+|B_4|+|B_5|.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\int_0^s|B_2|dt\le C\frac{s}{R^2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx.$$

For the terms B_4 and B_5, by the equation of $\mathbf{n}$, (4.4) and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^s|B_4|+|B_5|dt\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times(0,s)}(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2|\mathbf{v}|+|\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{v}|+|\nabla\mathbf{n}||\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{h}|)\phi|\nabla\phi|dxdt\\
&\le\frac{\gamma}{4(1-\gamma)}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times(0,s)}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2\phi^2dxdt+\frac{1}{4\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times(0,s)}|\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{h}|^2\phi^2dxdt\\
&\quad+C\frac{s}{R^2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx+C\epsilon_1^{1/2}\frac{s^{1/2}}{R}(1+\frac{s}{R^2})^{1/2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the first term of B_1, by (4.2) it's easy to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times(0,s)}|\mathbf{v}|^2|\mathbf{v}||\phi||\nabla\phi|dxdt &\le (\int_0^s\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}|^4dxdt)^{1/2}\cdot(\int_0^s\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{R^2})^{1/2}\\
&\le C\epsilon_1^{1/2}\frac{s^{1/2}}{R}(1+\frac{s}{R^2})^{1/2}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx
\end{aligned}$$

for $R\le R_0$. Meanwhile,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times(0,s)}|p||\mathbf{v}||\phi||\nabla\phi|dxdt\le(\int_0^s\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|p|^2dxdt)^{1/2}\cdot(\int_0^s\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{R^2}dxdt)^{1/2}.$$

We note that

$$\Delta p=\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\nabla\cdot(\nabla\cdot(\sigma^E+\sigma^L))-\partial_i\partial_j(v^iv^j).$$

By Calderón-Zygmund estimates, (4.3), (4.4) and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^s\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|p|^2dxdt &\le \int_0^s\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4+|\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2dxdt\\
&\le C(1+\frac{s}{R^2})\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma is true.

Next lemma is devoted to promote the regularity of the solution $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that the Leslie coefficients satisfy (1.6)-(1.8). Let* $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ *be a solution of (1.1) with the initial value* $(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)\in L^2\times H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^2,S^2)$ *and* $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_0=0$. *There are constants* ϵ_1 *and* $R_0>0$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{esssup}_{0\le t\le T,x\in\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{B_R(x)}|\nabla\mathbf{n}(\cdot,t)|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,t)dx<\epsilon_1,\quad \forall R\in(0,R_0].$$

Then, for all $t\in[\tau,T]$ *with* $\tau\in(0,T)$, *it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}(\cdot,t)|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,t)dx+\int_\tau^t\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}(\cdot,s)|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,s)dxds\le C(\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2}),$$

where $E_0=E(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}e(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)dx$.

Proof: Multiplying $(1.1)_1$ with $\Delta\mathbf{v}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\frac{\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\Delta\mathbf{v}|^2dx\\&=\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{v}dx-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\nabla\cdot\sigma^E)\cdot\Delta\mathbf{v}dx-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)\cdot\Delta\mathbf{v}dx\\&\le\frac{1}{4}\frac{\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\Delta\mathbf{v}|^2dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\&\quad-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)\cdot\Delta\mathbf{v}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)\cdot\Delta\mathbf{v}dx\\&=\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\sigma^L:\Delta(\mathbf{D}+\Omega)dx\\&=\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\alpha_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn}:\Delta\mathbf{D}+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\mathbf{nN}:\Delta\mathbf{D}+(\alpha_2-\alpha_3)\mathbf{nN}:\Delta\Omega\\&\quad+\alpha_4\mathbf{D}:\Delta\mathbf{D}+(\alpha_5+\alpha_6)(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta\mathbf{D}+(\alpha_5-\alpha_6)(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta\Omega]dx.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we have

$$\alpha_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn}:\Delta\mathbf{D}dx\le-\alpha_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{nn}:\nabla\mathbf{D}|^2dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{v}||\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|dx,$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta\mathbf{D}dx\le-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|(\nabla\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})|^2dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{v}||\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|dx.$$

Moreover, recall that $\gamma_1=\alpha_3-\alpha_2$ and $\gamma_2=\alpha_6-\alpha_5=\alpha_3+\alpha_2$, hence by $(1.1)_3$ and the anti-symmetric property of $\Delta\Omega$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\gamma_1(\mathbf{nN}:\Delta\Omega)+\gamma_2(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta\Omega dx\\&=-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\cdot(-\mathbf{h}+\gamma_1\mathbf{N}+\gamma_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{h}):\Delta\Omega dx=\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{h}\cdot(\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx.\end{aligned}$$

Using $(1.1)_3$ again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\mathbf{N}:\Delta\mathbf{D}dx\\
&=\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))dx\\
&\le\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}))dx\\
&\quad+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n})dx\\
&\le\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2-|\mathbf{n}^i\nabla\mathbf{D}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{n}^j|^2)dx\\
&\quad+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{v}||\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|dx
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining the above estimates and applying Remark 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\frac{\gamma}{2Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\Delta\mathbf{v}|^2dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)dx\\
&\quad+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{h}\cdot(\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})dx
\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

On the other hand, we can differentiate (1.9), multiply it by $\nabla_\beta\mathbf{h}$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}a|\Delta\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_1-a)|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_2-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{curln})|^2+(k_3-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curln})|^2dx\\
&\quad-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[(\nabla_\beta\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)n^i+(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\nabla_\beta n^i]\cdot\nabla_\beta h^idx\\
&\le\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{h}dx-\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\quad-\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{h}dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\delta|\nabla\mathbf{n}_t|^2+C(\delta)(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\
&\doteq B_6+B_7+B_8+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\delta|\nabla\mathbf{n}_t|^2+C(\delta)(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2dx,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

where $\delta>0$, to be decided later.

Direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
B_6&=-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{h}dx-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})-\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\le-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{h}\cdot(\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla\mathbf{v}||\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{h}|+|\mathbf{h}||\nabla^2\mathbf{v}||\nabla\mathbf{n}|)dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

Note the fact that $|\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta\mathbf{n}|\le C|\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|$, and similar estimates to (4.8) for B_7 imply

$$B_7\le-\mu_1a\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)dx.\tag{4.16}$$

At last, we estimate B_8.

$$\begin{aligned}
B_8 &= -\mu_2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \{(\nabla_\beta \mathbf{n} \times ((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{h} + (\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{h} \\
&\quad +(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{h}\}dx - \mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{h}dx \\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}||\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{h}|dx + \mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\times((\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{h}dx \\
&\quad +\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\{(\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})) + (\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})) \\
&\quad +(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n}))\}\cdot\mathbf{h}dx \\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}||\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{h}| + |\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla^2\mathbf{v}||\mathbf{h}|dx + \mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}((\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

The definition of $\mathbf{h}$ and (1.9) tell us that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{n} = |\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2, \quad |\mathbf{h}| \le C(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2 + |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|), \\
&|\nabla\mathbf{h}| \le C(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^3 + |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{n}| + |\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$|\nabla\mathbf{n}_t|^2 \le \max\{1, |\mu_1|, |\mu_2|\}(|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2 + |\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2) + C(|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2)(|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2). \tag{4.19}$$

Hence combining these estimates from (4.13) to (4.19) and choosing $\delta = \frac{a\mu_1}{2+2|\mu_1|+2|\mu_2|}$, by Gagliardo-Sobolev inequlity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2 + a|\Delta\mathbf{n}|^2dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{\gamma}{4(1-\gamma)}|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2 + \frac{a\mu_1}{4}|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2dx \\
&\quad+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(k_1-a)|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}|^2 + (k_2-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})|^2 + (k_3-a)|\nabla(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})|^2dx \\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2)(|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2)dx, \\
&\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\mathbf{v}|^4 + |\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)dx\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^4 + |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4)dx\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\le \min\{\frac{\gamma}{8(1-\gamma)}, \frac{a\mu_1}{8}\}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2dx \\
&\quad+C\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\mathbf{v}|^4 + |\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)dx\Big)\Big(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2)dx\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Due to (4.3), we know that for $\tau \in (0,T)$ there exists a $\tau' \in (0,\tau)$ such that $\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2)(\cdot,\tau')dx < C(\tau, E_0, \frac{T}{R^2})$. Then the required inequality follows from Gronwall's inequality, (4.3) and (4.4); see also, [9, Lemma 3.4].

Similar computations to Lemma 4.4, we may obtain higher regularity for $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ of (1.1).

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that the Leslie coefficients satisfy (1.6)-(1.8). Let* $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ *be a solution of (1.1) with the initial value* $(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0) \in L^2\times H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^2, S^2)$ *and* $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$*. There are constants* ϵ_1 *and* $R_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{esssup}_{0\le t\le T, x\in\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{B_R(x)}|\nabla\mathbf{n}(\cdot,t)|^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,t)dx < \epsilon_1, \quad \forall R \in (0, R_0].$$

Then, for all $t \in [\tau, T]$ with $\tau \in (0, T)$, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla^3 \mathbf{n}(\cdot,t)|^2 + |\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,t)dx + \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla^4 \mathbf{n}(\cdot,s)|^2 + |\nabla^3 \mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,s)dxds \le C(\epsilon_1, E_0, \tau, T, \frac{T}{R^2}).$$

Proof: Since $|\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 = |\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{n}| \le |\nabla^2 \mathbf{n}|$. Multiplying $(1.1)_1$ with $\Delta^2 \mathbf{v}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}|^2 dx + \frac{\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla\Delta\mathbf{v}|^2 dx \\
&= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}\cdot\Delta^2\mathbf{v}dx + \frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\nabla\cdot\sigma^E)\cdot\Delta^2\mathbf{v}dx + \frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)\cdot\Delta^2\mathbf{v}dx \\
&\le \frac{1}{4}\frac{\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla\Delta\mathbf{v}|^2 dx + C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v})|^2 dx + \frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)\cdot\Delta^2\mathbf{v}dx \\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2 + |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4)dx. \qquad (4.20)
\end{aligned}$$

As the above lemma, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)\cdot\Delta^2\mathbf{v}dx \\
&= -\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \sigma^L : \Delta^2(\mathbf{D}+\Omega)dx \\
&= -\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} [\alpha_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn}:\Delta^2\mathbf{D} + (\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\mathbf{nN}:\Delta^2\mathbf{D} + (\alpha_2-\alpha_3)\mathbf{nN}:\Delta^2\Omega \\
&\quad + \alpha_4\mathbf{D}:\Delta^2\mathbf{D} + (\alpha_5+\alpha_6)(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta^2\mathbf{D} + (\alpha_5-\alpha_6)(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta^2\Omega]dx,
\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\alpha_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn}:\Delta^2\mathbf{D}dx \\
&\le -\alpha_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\mathbf{nn}:\Delta\mathbf{D}|^2 dx + C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{v}||\nabla^3\mathbf{v}| + |\nabla^2\mathbf{v}||\nabla^3\mathbf{v}||\nabla\mathbf{n}|dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta^2\mathbf{D}dx \\
&\le -\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})|^2 dx + C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{v}||\nabla^3\mathbf{v}| + |\nabla^2\mathbf{v}||\nabla^3\mathbf{v}||\nabla\mathbf{n}|dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by $(1.1)_3$ and the anti-symmetric property of $\Delta\Omega$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \gamma_1(\mathbf{nN}:\Delta^2\Omega) + \gamma_2(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta^2\Omega dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^2\Omega\cdot(-\mathbf{h} + \gamma_1\mathbf{N} + \gamma_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{h})dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^2\Omega\cdot\mathbf{h}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $(1.1)_3$ again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\gamma_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{nN}:\Delta^2\mathbf{D}dx\\
&\le -\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta^2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta^2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}))dx\\
&\quad -\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta^2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{nn})dx\\
&\le -\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\Delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2-|\mathbf{n}^i\Delta\mathbf{D}_{ij}\cdot\mathbf{n}^j|^2)dx\\
&\quad +C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^3\mathbf{v}|(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{v}|+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}||\nabla\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{n}|)dx
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining the above estimates and applying Remark 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\frac{\gamma}{2Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\Delta\mathbf{v}|^2dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2)(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)dx\\
&\quad +\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta\Omega\cdot\Delta\mathbf{h}dx+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{n}\times(\Delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot(\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})dx
\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

On the other hand, we can differentiate ∇_β to (1.9), multiply it by $\nabla_\beta\Delta\mathbf{h}$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}a|\nabla\Delta\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_1-a)|\triangle\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_2-a)|\Delta(\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{curln})|^2+(k_3-a)|\Delta(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curln})|^2dx\\
&\quad +\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[(\nabla_\beta\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)n^i+(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\nabla_\beta n^i]\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta h^idx\\
&\le -\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta\mathbf{h}dx+\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\quad +\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\quad +\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_t|^2+C(\delta)\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4+|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)dx\\
&\doteq B_6'+B_7'+B_8'+\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_t|^2+C(\delta)\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4+|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)dx,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

where $\delta>0$, to be decided later.

Since $|\Delta\mathbf{h}|\le C(|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}||\nabla\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2)$, then direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[(\nabla_\beta\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)n^i+(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\nabla_\beta n^i]\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta h^idx|\\
&\le\frac{1}{16}a\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|^2dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4dx\\
&\quad +C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2)(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)dx,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_6' &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta\mathbf{h}dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})-\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta\mathbf{h}dx\\ &\le \int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\Delta\mathbf{h}\cdot(\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx+\frac{1}{16}a\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\ &\quad +C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2)(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)dx \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Note the fact that $|\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^2\mathbf{n}|\le C(|\nabla\mathbf{n}||\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2)$, and similar estimates to (4.8) for B_7' imply

$$B_7'\le-\mu_1a\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|^2+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4)dx. \tag{4.25}$$

At last, we estimate B_8'.

$$\begin{aligned} B_8' &= \mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\{(\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta\mathbf{h}+(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta\mathbf{h}\\ &\quad +(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta\mathbf{h}\}dx+\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta\mathbf{h}dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{16}a\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|^2dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4dx\\ &\quad +C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2)(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)dx\\ &\quad -\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\times((\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta\mathbf{h}dx-\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n}))\cdot\Delta\mathbf{h}dx\\ &\quad -\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\{(\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))+(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\}\Delta\mathbf{h}dx\\ &\le -\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}((\Delta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\Delta\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx+\frac{1}{8}a\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\ &\quad +C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2)(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)dx \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

The definition of **h** and (1.9) tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2\mathbf{n}_t|^2 &\le \max\{1,|\mu_1|,|\mu_2|\}(|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^3\mathbf{v}|^2)+C|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2\\ &\quad +C|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2(|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Hence combining these estimates from (4.21) to (4.27) and choosing δ small enough, by Gagliardo-Sobolev inequlity we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2+a|\nabla\Delta\mathbf{n}|^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{\gamma}{4(1-\gamma)}|\nabla^3\mathbf{v}|^2+\frac{a\mu_1}{4}|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\
&\quad+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(k_1-a)|\Delta\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_2-a)|\Delta(\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{curln})|^2+(k_3-a)|\Delta(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curln})|^2dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2)(|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)dx,\\
&\le C(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4)dx)^{1/2}(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^4)dx)^{1/2}+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^4dx\\
&\le\min\{\frac{\gamma}{8(1-\gamma)},\frac{a\mu_1}{8}\}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^3\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\
&\quad+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\mathbf{v}|^4+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^4+|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|^2)dx\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla^2\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla^3\mathbf{n}|^2)dx
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the required inequality follows from Gronwall's inequality, (4.3), (4.4) and Lemma 4.4.

Similar computations as the above two lemmas, we may obtain interior regularity for $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ of (1.1).

Corollary 4.6. *Assume that the Leslie coefficients satisfy (1.6)-(1.8). Let $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ be a solution of (1.1) with the initial value $(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)\in L^2\times H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^2,S^2)$ and $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_0=0$. There are constants ϵ_1 and $R_0>0$ such that*

$$
\mathrm{esssup}_{0\le t\le T,x\in\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{B_R(x)}|\nabla\mathbf{n}(\cdot,t)|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,t)dx<\epsilon_1,\quad\forall R\in(0,R_0].
$$

Then, for all $t\in[\tau,T]$ with $\tau\in(0,T)$, for any $l\ge1$ it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{l+1}\mathbf{n}(\cdot,t)|^2+|\nabla^l\mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,t)dx+\int_\tau^t\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{l+2}\mathbf{n}(\cdot,s)|^2+|\nabla^{l+1}\mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,s)dxds\\
&\le C(l,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2}).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}
$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are regular for all $t\in(0,T)$.

Proof: The proof is divided into four steps.

Step 1. For $l=1,2$, by Lemma 4.4 and 4.5 we know that (4.28) holds. For $l=3$, similar arguments as in Lemma 4.5, it's not difficult to obtain (4.28). Now we assume that for $l_0\ge3$, the estimate (4.28) holds, and we prove that the case $l=l_0+1$ is still true.

Step 2. Firstly, we prove the case that l_0 is odd, i.e. for $l=1,\cdots,2k-1$ and $k\ge2$, (4.28) holds, and we are aimed to obtain the case $l=2k$. Especially, by the assumptions and Sobolev inequality we have the following estimates:

$$
|\nabla\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}|+|\mathbf{v}|+|\nabla\mathbf{v}|\le C(\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2}),\quad\text{in }\mathbb{R}^2\times(\tau,T),\tag{4.29}
$$

and for any $t\in(\tau,T)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{n}(\cdot,t)|^2+|\nabla^{2k-1}\mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,t)dx+\int_\tau^t\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}(\cdot,s)|^2+|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2(\cdot,s)dxds\\
&\le C(k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2}),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}
$$

which implies that

$$|\nabla^{2k-2}\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla^{2k-3}\mathbf{v}|\leq C(k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2}),\quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2\times(\tau,T). \tag{4.31}$$

As the process in Lemma 4.5, multiplying $(1.1)_1$ with $\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{v}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\frac{\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\Delta^k\mathbf{v}|^2dx\\ &=-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}\cdot\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{v}dx+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\nabla\cdot\sigma^E)\cdot\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{v}dx+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)\cdot\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{v}dx\\ &\leq\frac{1}{4}\frac{\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\Delta^k\mathbf{v}|^2dx+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k-1}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v})|^2dx+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)\cdot\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{v}dx\\ &\quad+C\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\sigma^E|^2dx.\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Recall that the form of σ^E is like $\nabla\mathbf{n}\otimes\nabla\mathbf{n}+\nabla\mathbf{n}\otimes\nabla\mathbf{n}\otimes\mathbf{n}\otimes\mathbf{n}$, hence by (4.29)-(4.31) we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\sigma^E|^2dx\leq C(k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1);$$

similarly,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k-1}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v})|^2dx\leq C(k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+1).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\nabla\cdot\sigma^L)\cdot\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{v}dx\\ &=-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\alpha_1(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn}:\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\mathbf{nN}:\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}+(\alpha_2-\alpha_3)\mathbf{nN}:\Delta^{2k}\Omega\\ &\quad+\alpha_4\mathbf{D}:\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}+(\alpha_5+\alpha_6)(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}+(\alpha_5-\alpha_6)(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta^{2k}\Omega]dx.\end{aligned}$$

By (4.29)-(4.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&-\alpha_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{nn}:\mathbf{D})\mathbf{nn}:\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}dx\\ &\leq-\alpha_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\mathbf{nn}:\Delta^k\mathbf{D}|dx+\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+1),\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta>0$, to be decided. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{nn}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}dx\\ &\leq-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|(\Delta^k\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})|^2dx+\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+1).\end{aligned}$$

Since formally h is $\nabla^2\mathbf{n}+\nabla^2\mathbf{n}\otimes\mathbf{n}\otimes\mathbf{n}+\nabla\mathbf{n}\otimes\nabla\mathbf{n}\otimes\mathbf{n}$, by $(1.1)_3$, the anti-symmetric property of $\Delta^k\Omega$ and (4.29)-(4.31) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\gamma_1(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{N}:\Delta^{2k}\Omega)+\gamma_2(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{D}):\Delta^{2k}\Omega dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^{2k}\Omega\cdot(-\mathbf{h}+\gamma_1\mathbf{N}+\gamma_2\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{h})dx=\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^{2k}\Omega\cdot\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\le\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^{k}\Omega\cdot\Delta^k\mathbf{h}dx+\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{v}|^2dx\\
&+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Using $(1.1)_3$ again, similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\gamma_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\mathbf{N}:\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}dx\\
&\le-\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}))dx\\
&\quad-\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta^{2k}\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n})dx\\
&\le-\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta^{k}\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\Delta^k\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx+\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\Delta^k\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2-|\mathbf{n}^i\Delta^k\mathbf{D}_{ij}\mathbf{n}^j|^2)dx\\
&\quad+\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining the above estimates and applying Remark 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\frac{\gamma}{2Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla\Delta^k\mathbf{v}|^2dx\\
&\le\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^k\Omega\cdot\Delta^k\mathbf{h}dx+\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{n}\times(\Delta^k\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot(\Delta^k\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})dx\\
&\quad+\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.33}$$

Differentiate ∇_β to (1.9), multiply it by $\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}$, and by (4.29)-(4.31) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}a|\nabla\Delta^k\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_1-a)|\triangle^k\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_2-a)|\Delta^k(\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{curln})|^2\\
&\quad+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(k_3-a)|\Delta^k(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curln})|^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[(\nabla_\beta\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)n^i+(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\nabla_\beta n^i]\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}h^idx\\
&\le-\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}dx+\mu_1\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta(\mathbf{n}\times(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\quad+\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\quad+\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{n}_t|^2+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1)\\
&\doteq B_6''+B_7''+B_8''+\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{n}_t|^2+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1)
\end{aligned}\tag{4.34}$$

Using (4.29)-(4.31) again, direct calculation shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[(\nabla_\beta \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)n^i+(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\nabla_\beta n^i]\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}h^i dx|\\
&\le \delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+2}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.35}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_6'' &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\Delta(\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})-\Delta\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\le \int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\Delta^k\mathbf{h}\cdot(\Delta^k\Omega\cdot\mathbf{n})dx+\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+2}\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\
&\quad +C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.36}
$$

By (4.31) and the fact $|\mathbf{n}|=1$, we remark that

$$
|\mathbf{n}\cdot\Delta^{k+1}\mathbf{n}|\le C(k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{n}|+|\nabla^{2k-1}\mathbf{n}|),
$$

and similar estimates for B_7'' as in (4.8) imply that

$$
B_7''\le -\mu_1 a\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+2}\mathbf{n}|^2+C(k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1).
\tag{4.37}
$$

At last, we estimate B_8''. Noting the bound of $\Delta^k\mathbf{h}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_8'' &= \mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\{(\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}+(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}\\
&\quad+(\mathbf{n}\times((\mathbf{D}\cdot\nabla_\beta\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}\}dx+\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\nabla_\beta\Delta^{2k-1}\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\le \delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+2}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+1)\\
&\quad-\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\nabla_\beta\Delta^{k-1}(\mathbf{n}\times((\nabla_\beta\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\times\mathbf{n}))\cdot\Delta^k\mathbf{h}dx\\
&\le -\mu_2\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}((\Delta^k\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n})\cdot(\mathbf{n}\times(\Delta^k\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{n}))dx+2\delta\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+2}\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\
&\quad+C(\delta,k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+1).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.38}
$$

Hence combining these estimates from (4.33) to (4.38) and choosing δ small enough, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{Re}{2(1-\gamma)}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2+a|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\frac{\gamma}{4(1-\gamma)}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{v}|^2+\frac{a\mu_1}{4}|\nabla^{2k+2}\mathbf{n}|^2dx\\
&\quad+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(k_1-a)|\Delta^k\mathrm{div}\mathbf{n}|^2+(k_2-a)|\Delta^k(\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})|^2+(k_3-a)|\Delta^k(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{n})|^2dx\\
&\le C(k,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2})(\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k}\mathbf{v}|^2dx+\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}|\nabla^{2k+1}\mathbf{n}|^2dx+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (4.28) for $l=2k$ by Gronwall's inequality.

Step 3. Secondly, the case that l_0 is even may be obtained by the same step as above.

Step 4. By arbitrarily of τ, the estimates in Step 2. and 3., we have for any $l > 1$ and $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0,T)$

$$|\nabla^l \mathbf{n}|(x,t) + |\nabla^l \mathbf{v}|(x,t) < \infty,$$

which yields that $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}$ are spatially smooth. Using the equation (1.1), $\mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{n}_t$ are also spatially smooth, and differentiating to the time t, hence we finally obtain $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}$ are smooth in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0,T)$.

4.2. **Global existence.** Now we'll complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. Indeed it's more or less standard since the local monotonic inequality , ε-regularity estimates in Section 4 and local existence of strong solutions for some regular data in Section 3 have been obtained. The following arguments are similar to [18] and [16], where the main difference is dealing with the Leslie coefficients, and we sketch its proof.

Let $E(t) = E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} e(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})(\cdot,t)dx$ denote the energy of $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ at time t . For any data $\mathbf{n}_0 \in H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^2;S^2)$, one can approximate it by a sequence of smooth maps $\mathbf{n}_0^k$ in $H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^2;S^2)$, and we can assume that $\mathbf{n}_0^k \in H^4_b(\mathbb{R}^2;S^2)$ (see [19]). For $\mathbf{v}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2)$, there exists a sequence of $\mathbf{v}_0^k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\mathbf{v}_0^k \to \mathbf{v}_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Due to the absolute continuity property of the integral, for any $\epsilon_1 > 0$, there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{B_{R_0}(x)} |\nabla \mathbf{n}_0|^2 + |\mathbf{v}_0|^2 dx \le \epsilon_1,$$

and by the strong convergence of $\mathbf{n}_0^k$ and $\mathbf{v}_0^k$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{B_{R_0}(x)} |\nabla \mathbf{n}_0^k|^2 + |\mathbf{v}_0^k|^2 dx \le 2\epsilon_1,$$

for k is large enough. Without loss of generality, we assume that it holds for all $k \ge 1$.

For the data $\mathbf{n}_0^k$ and $\mathbf{v}_0^k$, by Theorem 1.1 there exists a time T^k and a strong solution $(\mathbf{n}^k, \mathbf{v}^k)$ with the pressure p^k such that

$$\mathbf{v}^k \in C\left([0,T^k]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^2)\right) \cap L^2(0,T;H^5(\mathbb{R}^2)), \nabla\mathbf{n}^k \in C\left([0,T^k]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^2)\right).$$

Hence there exists $T_0^k \le T^k$ such that

$$\sup_{0<t<T_0^k, x\in\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla \mathbf{n}^k(y,t)|^2 + |\mathbf{v}^k(y,t)|^2 dy \le 4\epsilon_1,$$

where $R \le R_0/2$. However, by the local monotonic inequality in Lemma 4.3, we have $T_0^k \ge \frac{\epsilon_1^2 R_0^2}{4C_2^2 E_0^2} = T_0 > 0$ uniformly. For any $0 < \tau < T_0$, by the estimates in Corollary 4.6 for any $l \ge 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\tau<t<T_0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla^{l+1}\mathbf{n}^k|^2(\cdot,t) + |\nabla^l \mathbf{v}^k|^2(\cdot,t)dx + \int_\tau^{T_0}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla^{l+2}\mathbf{n}^k(\cdot,s)|^2 + |\nabla^{l+1}\mathbf{v}^k|^2(\cdot,s)dxds \\ &\le C(l,\epsilon_1,E_0,\tau,T,\frac{T}{R^2}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

Moreover, the energy inequality in Proposition 2.1, a priori estimates in Lemma 4.2 and the equations of the direction fields yield that

$$E(\mathbf{v}^k,\mathbf{n}^k)(t) \le E_0,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T_0^k]}(|\nabla^2\mathbf{n}^k|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}^k|^2+|\partial_t\mathbf{n}^k|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}^k|^4+|\mathbf{v}^k|^4)dxdt\le C(\epsilon_1,C_2,E_0). \tag{4.40}$$

Now we estimate the pressure term of the velocity equations. In the distributional sense,

$$\triangle p^k=-\nabla^2(\mathbf{v}^k\otimes\mathbf{v}^k-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\sigma^k),$$

hence by Calderón-Zygmund estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|p^k\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T_0^k])} &\le C\||\mathbf{v}^k|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}^k|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}^k|+|\partial_t\mathbf{n}^k|\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T_0^k])}\\ &\le C(\epsilon_1,C_2,E_0). \end{aligned}\tag{4.41}$$

At last, we estimate the term $\partial_t\mathbf{v}^k$. For any $\phi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T_0^k);\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^{T_0^k}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\partial_t\mathbf{v}^k\phi dxdt\\ &=\int_0^{T_0^k}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(\mathbf{v}^k\otimes\mathbf{v}^k-\frac{\gamma}{Re}\nabla\mathbf{v}^k-\frac{1-\gamma}{Re}\sigma^k):\nabla\phi+p^k\mathrm{div}\phi dxdt\\ &\le C\||\mathbf{v}^k|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}^k|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}^k|+|p^k|+|\partial_t\mathbf{n}^k|\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2\times[0,T_0^k])}\|\phi\|_{L^2_tH^1_x}\\ &\le C(\epsilon_1,C_2)E_0\|\phi\|_{L^2_tH^1_x},\end{aligned}$$

that is, for any $k\ge1$,

$$\|\partial_t\mathbf{v}^k\|_{L^2(0,T_0^k;H^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^2))}\le C(\epsilon_1,C_2,E_0). \tag{4.42}$$

Hence the above estimates (4.39)-(4.42) and Aubin-Lions Lemma yield that there exist a solution $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n}-b)\in W_2^{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T_0];\mathbb{R}^2)\times W_2^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T_0];\mathbb{R}^3)$ with the pressure p such that (at most up to s subsequence)

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{v}^k\to\mathbf{v},\quad \text{locally in}\quad W_2^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T_0);\mathbb{R}^2);\\ &\mathbf{n}^k-b\to\mathbf{n}-b,\quad \text{locally in}\quad W_2^{3,1}(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T_0);\mathbb{R}^3);\\ &\mathbf{n}^k\to\mathbf{n},\quad \text{in}\quad L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T_0);\mathbb{R}^3);\\ &\mathbf{v}^k\rightharpoonup\mathbf{v},\quad \text{in}\quad W_2^{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T_0];\mathbb{R}^2);\\ &\mathbf{n}^k-b\rightharpoonup\mathbf{n}-b,\quad \text{in}\quad W_2^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T_0];\mathbb{R}^3);\\ &p^k\rightharpoonup p,\quad \text{in}\quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,T_0];\mathbb{R});\\ &\mathbf{v}^k(t)\rightharpoonup\mathbf{v}(t),\quad \text{in}\quad H^2(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2)\quad \text{for}\quad a.e.\quad t\in(\tau,T_0);\\ &\mathbf{n}^k(t)-b\rightharpoonup\mathbf{n}(t)-b,\quad \text{in}\quad H^3(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^3)\quad \text{for}\quad a.e.\quad t\in(\tau,T_0).\end{aligned}$$

By (4.40) and (4.42), $(\mathbf{v}(t),\nabla\mathbf{n}(t))\rightharpoonup(\mathbf{v}_0,\nabla\mathbf{n}_0)$ weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, thus

$$E(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)\le\liminf_{t\to0}E(\mathbf{v}(t),\mathbf{n}(t))$$

On the other hand, by the energy estimates of $(\mathbf{v}^k,\mathbf{n}^k)$, we have

$$E(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)\ge\limsup_{t\to0}E(\mathbf{v}(t),\mathbf{n}(t)).$$

Hence, $(\mathbf{v}(t),\nabla\mathbf{n}(t))\to(\mathbf{v}_0,\nabla\mathbf{n}_0)$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ is the solution of the equations (1.1) with the indicated data $(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{n}_0)$. From the weak limit of regular estimates (4.39), we know that $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T_0])$, and $(\nabla^l\mathbf{v},\nabla^{l+1}\mathbf{n})(\cdot,T_0)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for any $l\ge1$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a unique smooth solution of (1.1) with the initial data

$(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})(\cdot,T_0)$, which is still written as $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$, and blow-up criterion yields that if $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$ blows up at time T^*, then

$$\|\nabla\times\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)}(t)+\|\nabla\mathbf{n}\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)}(t)\to\infty,\quad \text{as}\quad t\to T^*,$$

as a result,

$$|\nabla^4\mathbf{n}|(x,t)+|\nabla^3\mathbf{v}|(x,t)\notin L_t^\infty L_x^2((T_0,T^*)\times\mathbb{R}^2) \tag{4.43}$$

We assume that T_1 is the first singular time of $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})$, then we have

$$(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T_1);\mathbb{R}^2\times S^2),\quad \text{and}\quad (\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n})\notin C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T_1];\mathbb{R}^2\times S^2);$$

and by Corollary 4.6 and (4.43),

$$\limsup_{t\uparrow T_1}\max_{x\in\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{B_R(x)}(|\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2)(\cdot,t)\geq\epsilon_1,\quad \forall R>0.$$

Finally, we can prove that $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{n}-b)\in C^0([0,T_1],L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ by similar arguments as (4.42) (also see P330, [16]). Hence, we can define

$$(\mathbf{v}(T_1),\mathbf{n}(T_1)-b)=\lim_{t\uparrow T_1}(\mathbf{v}(t),\mathbf{n}(t)-b)\quad \text{in}\quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

On the other hand, by the energy inequality $\nabla\mathbf{n}\in L^\infty(0,T_1;L^2(R^2))$, hence $\nabla\mathbf{n}(t)\rightharpoonup\nabla\mathbf{n}(T_1)$. Similarly we can extend T_1 to T_2 and so on. It's easy to check that the energy loss at every singular time T_i for $i\geq 1$ is at least ϵ_1, thus the number of the singular time is finite as L, and for $1\leq i\leq L$ we have

$$\limsup_{t\uparrow T_i}\max_{x\in\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{B_R(x)}(|\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{n}|^2)(\cdot,t)\geq\epsilon_1,\quad \forall R>0.$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 4.7. *Formally, if the Leslie coefficients $\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_6$, Ω and $\mathbf{D}$ vanish in (1.1), then we arrive at the Oseen-Frank model as in* [9]*, and all the computations and arguments above also work, which seem to be more simple.*

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Professor Zhifei ZHANG for his suggestion to consider the problem and his some valuable discussions with them. Part of this work is carried out when the first author is visiting Math. Department of Princeton University. Meng is partially supported by NSFC 10931001and Chen-su star project by Zhejiang University. Wendong is supported by "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" and the Institute of Mathematical Sciences of Chinese University of Hong Kong.

References

[1] Adams, Robert A.; Fournier, John J. F., Sobolev spaces. Second edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), 140. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003. xiv+305 pp.

[2] H. Bahouri, J. Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. xvi+523 pp.

[3] J. T. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda, Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler Equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 94(1984), 61-66.

[4] J. Ericksen, Conservation laws for liquid crystals, Trans. Soc. Rheol., 5(1961), 22-34.

[5] J. Ericksen, Liquid crystals with variable degree of orientation, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 113 (1990), 97-120.

[6] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica and J. Soucek, Cartesian currents in the calculus of variations, part II, Variational integrals, A series of modern serveys in mathematics, 38, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
[7] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer and F.-H. Lin, Existence and partial regularity of static liquid crystal configuration, Comm. Math. Phys., 105(1986), 547-570.
[8] M.-C. Hong, Global existence of solutions of the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system in dimension two, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 40(2011), 15-36.
[9] M.-C. Hong, and Z.-P. Xin, Global existence of solutions of the liquid crystal flow for the Oseen-Frank model in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Adv. Math., 231(2012), 1364-1400.
[10] M.-C. Hong, J.-K. Li and Z.-P. Xin, Blow-up criteria of strong solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Arxiv: 1303.4488v2[math.AP], 20 March 2013.
[11] T. Huang and C. Wang, Blow up criterion for nematic liquid crystal flows, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 37(2012), 875-884.
[12] F. M. Leslie, Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 28 (1968), 265-283.
[13] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 48(1995), 501-537.
[14] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, Partial regularity of the nonlinear dissipative systems modeling the fow of liquid crystals, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, 2(1996), 1-22.
[15] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, Existence of solutions for the Ericksen-Leslie system, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 154(2000), 135-156.
[16] F.-H. Lin, J. Lin and C. Wang, Liquid crystal flows in two dimensions, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 197(2010), 297-336.
[17] F.-H. Lin and C. Wang, On the uniqueness of heat flow of harmonic maps and hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B, 31(2010), 921-938.
[18] M. Struwe, On the evolution of harmonic maps of Riemannian surfaces, Commun. Math. Helv., 60(1985), 558-581.
[19] R. Schoen and K. Uhlenbeck, Regularity of minimizing harmonic maps into the sphere, Invent. Math., 78(1984), 89-100.
[20] C. Wang, Well-posedness for the heat flow of harmonic maps and the liquid crystal flow with rough initial data, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 200(2011), 1-19.
[21] H. Wu, X. Xu and C. Liu, On the general Ericksen Leslie system: Parodi's relation, well-posedness and stability, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 208(2013), 59-107.
[22] W. Wang, P. Zhang, and Z. Zhang, Well-posedness of the Ericksen-Leslie system, Arxiv: 1208.6107v1[math.AP], 30 Aug 2012.
[23] X. Xu and Z. Zhang, Global regularity and uniqueness of weak solution for the 2-D liquid crystal flows, J. Differential Equations, 252 (2012), 1169-1181.

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China
E-mail address: `mathdreamcn@zju.edu.cn`

School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, P. R. China and The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong
E-mail address: `wendong@dlut.edu.cn`